TRANSCRIPT OF THE LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION BUSINESS AND EXECUTIVE OPEN SESSION HELD ON SEPTEMBER 20, 2023 IN BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA. PRESENT WERE: CHAIRMAN FOSTER CAMPBELL, VICE CHAIRMAN MIKE FRANCIS, COMMISSIONER ERIC SKRMETTA, COMMISSIONER CRAIG GREENE, AND COMMISSIONER DAVANTE LEWIS.

Exhibit	Docket	Description	Page
1		Announcements	3 - 6
2	S-36714	DISH Wireless L.L.C.	10 - 15
3	S-36673	Chevron Phillips Chemical Pipeline Company, LLC	15 - 25
4	R-30479	LPSC	25 - 31
5	R-31106	Louisiana Public Service Commission	32 - 53
6	R-35423	Louisiana Public Service Commission	54 - 58
7	R-36830	Louisiana Public Service Commission	58 - 61

	U-29203	Entergy Gulf States, Inc. and Entergy Louisiana, Inc.	
	U-30981	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana L.L.C. and Entergy Louisiana, LLC	
8	U-32764	Entergy Louisiana, LLC and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC	61 - 76
	U-35991	Entergy Louisiana, LLC	
	U-36350	Entergy Louisiana, LLC	
9	U-36133	Dixie Electric Membership Corporation, NextEra Energy Marketing, LLC and Amite Solar, LLC	76 - 77
10	U-36169	Southwestern Electric Power Company	77 - 92
11	U-36174	Southwestern Electric Power Company	92 - 94
12	U-36612	Pierre Part Natural Gas Company	94 - 95
13	U-36626	Town & Country Service Company	95
14	U-36808	Washington - St. Tammany Electric Cooperative, Inc.	96 - 99
15		 Reports Resolutions Discussions ERSC/OMS business Directives 	99 - 115

16	U-36807	Cleco Power LLC	115 - 117
17	U-36809	Concordia Electric Cooperative, Inc.	117 - 121
18		Update on the Project Cypress Direct Air Capture Hub located in Southwest Louisiana	7 - 10
	FERC Docket No. EL18-152	Louisiana Public Service Commission v. System Energy Resources, Inc.	121 122
19	and FERC Docket No. ER23-2821	and Energy Services, Inc.	121 - 122

1 TRANSCRIPT OF THE LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 BUSINESS AND EXECUTIVE OPEN SESSION HELD ON SEPTEMBER 20, 2023 IN BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA. 3 **PRESENT WERE:** CHAIRMAN FOSTER CAMPBELL, VICE CHAIRMAN MIKE FRANCIS, 4 5 COMMISSIONER ERIC SKRMETTA, COMMISSIONER CRAIG 6 **GREENE, AND COMMISSIONER DAVANTE LEWIS.**

7 CHAIRMAN FOSTER CAMPBELL: It's 9:30 and it's my fault. I said that last
8 time, but I apologize again. Okay. Mr. Greene, would you lead the prayer, and Mr.
9 Francis, say the pledge.

10 [COMMISSIONER CRAIG GREENE LEADS IN PRAYER]

11 [VICE CHAIRMAN MIKE FRANCES LEADS IN THE PLEDGE]

12 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I wanted to start off by saying first of all I apologize.

I apologized last week, but Brandon, please help me not ever make that mistake 13 14 again. And I hope this Commission doesn't ever make a mistake again. It was my 15 fault. We had a little lady that -- your witness last time, she sat through this whole 16 ordeal, four hours, this one little lady and she didn't have much testimony, about 17 10 minutes. That's ridiculous. So if you see these people come in here that are one 18 issue people and they want to speak for five minutes, I apologize for letting that happen. And we ought to make a rule that if it's just real simple testimony to let 19 20 these people come up and speak and get out of here because that poor woman sat 21 here for four hours while we talked about stuff that she knew nothing about and 22 was misery for her but that was my fault. So if you see somebody coming in here 23 that just has one little issue, get them in here and let them speak because that was

ridiculous. I hope that doesn't happen again. I would like to take something out of
 order, not vote on it, but just talk about it. And I want it to come up in October,
 that's Mr. Skrmetta's -- down in your area next week. Next thing, while we're
 talking about that, Brandon, the December meeting in Natchitoches --

5 **EXECUTIVE SECRETARY BRANDON FREY:** Yes.

- 6 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: -- you got -- you got to pull it back. You got it on
 7 the 20th.
- 8 **SECRETARY FREY:** Okay.

9 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's before Christmas. Nobody's going to come
10 four days before Christmas. So get it back up. The Christmas Light -- the parade
11 is the first Saturday of December, so 20th of December is way too late to have a
12 meeting. That's four days before Christmas. So if you can --

13 SECRETARY FREY: We can look at -- the prior Wednesday would be the 13th

- 14 if everyone wants to look at their schedules and get back with us to make sure we
- 15 can get it scheduled. I know last time we had it in Natchitoches, we had an issue
- 16 getting hotel rooms close.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah.
- 18 **SECRETARY FREY:** So I'd like to get it set as soon as possible.
- 19 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** I got you. But 20th of December everybody's getting
- 20 ready for Christmas, and if you want a lot of participation --
- 21 SECRETARY FREY: Sure.
- 22 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: -- I don't think -- a lot of people are going out of
- 23 town, things like that. Look at it, would you? I have a --

- SECRETARY FREY: We have a -- you want to handle other announcements? I
 know we're going take your item up.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: No. Do the announcements. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
 4 SECRETARY FREY: Sure. Okay. Okay.

5 MS. KATHRYN BOWMAN: So Staff does have two announcements. The first 6 is that the October B&E will be held at Copeland Towers. It will be on the same date, which is October 18th, but the October B&E is being moved to Copeland 7 8 Towers. And then the second is a save the date for the attorneys in the room. The 9 Public Utility section of the Louisiana Bar's annual CLE will be held on Friday, 10 December 1st again at the City Club. More details to come on that, but just put that 11 on you guys' calendars. Again, that's Friday, December 1st for the Public Utility 12 section CLE. And that's all the announcements that Staff has.

- 13 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. Craig.
- 14 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Am I on?

15 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Hold on. I'm turning everybody on. You can hardly
16 see these little green lights. You are on now. Everybody is on. Okay.

17 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Good morning, everybody. I just wanted to 18 comment that there's been news and media attention around the Commission's 19 victory at the FERC requiring Entergy to refund \$550 million to its customers 20 through its jurisdictions, 140 million of which would go to Entergy of Louisiana 21 customers. And Entergy's refusal to adhere to these refunds and pay the customers 22 what they're owed, I'd like to briefly make the public aware that the Commission's 23 not going to stand for that and all the Commissioners up here are interested in ways

1 to ensure customers receive the millions of dollars that they're owed with interest. 2 We have an executive session today to discuss various tools the Commission will 3 utilize to compel payment. It's unfortunate we must do that considering Entergy's 4 in the front of the Commission presently asking for more money from its customers, 5 9.7 billion to replace the aging distribution infrastructure, 3 billion in local transmission funding, 440 million in rate increase for over 10 percent return to 6 7 shareholders and funding for thousands of megawatts of solar. The list goes on. 8 It's unfortunate the expectation is a one-way street, but -- for us to approve billions 9 of dollars but customers can't rely on the company to follow through on FERC 10 ordered refunds. I had a discussion with Entergy. They see it differently. So I just 11 wanted to let y'all -- want the public to know that we feel strongly about the public 12 getting just due funds if that's what FERC and the courts have decided. So thank 13 you.

14 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Lewis.

15 **COMMISSIONER DAVANTE LEWIS:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to 16 take this time to introduce two new members that have joined my Staff in District 17 3 recently. Torey Naquin, if you would raise your hand, has come on as my 18 administrative coordinator who brings a depth of experience in state government 19 coming from the Office of Technology Services and Louisiana State Police, so she 20 will be a great addition to all of you who constantly send me emails to schedule 21 meetings. Now we have someone to help navigate that. But then I would also like 22 to introduce Annie Matthews, who will be joining my team as a senior legal policy 23 fellow in partnership with the Environmental and Energy Law Impact Center of

1 New York University. They have a fellowship program that they offer that's 2 traditionally been held for Attorney General's but they are starting to place them in 3 Commission's offices and my office was selected as one of those sites, so Annie 4 will be on my team for the next year. She is a graduate of Tulane University Law 5 School and brings a depth of experience from working at the Southeast Louisiana 6 Legal Services, the Office of General Counsel at the USDA in the Natural 7 Resources and Environmental Division, and at the Gulf Coast Center for Law and 8 Policy. So I want to welcome officially Torey and Annie to the District 3 team. 9 Mr. Chairman, one thing also I would like to state. I would like to state my support 10 today for a new federal program that could bring a hundred of million of dollars' 11 worth of clean energy to Louisiana. The Solar for All is a competitive grant 12 program created by the IRA, the Inflation Reduction Act, that is now taking 13 applications. And the winner of that competition will build enough solar capacity 14 to power millions of homes in the coming years. Now, despite huge cost declines, 15 unfortunately solar is still thousand dollars away and a lot of Louisiana folks cannot 16 afford to put solar in their home. That's why this program is targeted to low income 17 and disadvantaged communities. It's a win-win for these households, lowering their bills, relieving the local grid, and spurring economic development in our 18 19 communities in this state. I'm excited to see that the state energy office and 20 Louisiana is underway in an application that could result in thousands of customers 21 taking advantage of clean, low-cost energy here in Louisiana. There has been some 22 regulatory challenges that may make our application difficult, but I want to state 23 that I have been working with Staff to ensure that the Commission, we are doing

everything that we can to promote this effort with our state to prepare for these projects that it could provide. So I want to thank all of the folks in Louisiana who have been working on the Solar for All application and know that my office, and I hope the whole Commission, will do everything that we can to make sure that Louisiana can get every dollar from the IRA that we are eligible to get. So I just wanted to put that on the record, Mr. Chairman, and thank you.

7 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Mr. Lewis, you might be -- you would be pleased to 8 know that -- I don't want to say I did, but I mean, I supported, along with other 9 people, rooftop solar is what you're talking about. And it's sort of ironic now, some 10 of you big companies might not want to hear this but they were in the way. They 11 didn't like a lot of rooftop solar. A lot of people said it wasn't going to work, this, 12 that, and the other until it got pretty good then now, thank God all of the big 13 companies are building renewable solar plants. So it's a good deal. Everybody 14 knows it's good. It provides energy, it's clean, and it helps everybody. So 15 hopefully, that we'll all work together with people who will put them on the roofs 16 with companies that build these solar facilities. I looked at one the other day up in 17 East Carol Parrish, 1,800 acres. It's a lot of -- going on in that across this country. 18 And maybe we can all work together rather than big companies objecting to it 19 because they're not going to be able to build it. I'm not going to say this because 20 I've said it a bunch of times, but there were people who felt threatened about solar 21 power and -- needlessly. It was a famous quote here and I won't go over it again 22 because the guy might be here and might -- it'd embarrass him but anyway. It's 23 not bad. It's good. And we're going to have to deal with it. We want it. Wind

power, solar power, we want it. We want it in Louisiana because if it's cheaper,
we need it. It's good for the customers. Thank you. If it's okay with you, I would
like to bring up something that I'm concerned about, and I know everybody here
will be concerned about it. I put it on the supplemental agenda late and, Brandon,
would you bring it up?

6 SECRETARY FREY: Sure. Yeah. This is Exhibit 18. Let me pull up the actual
7 in re. It's update on the Project Cypress Direct Air Capture Hub located in
8 Southwest Louisiana.

9 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: What number is it?

10 **SECRETARY FREY:** Exhibit 18.

11 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: As usual now, I don't have it in my book. Not as
12 usual, just I don't have 18. But go ahead and read it. I got it. Don't worry about
13 it. Don't worry about it.

SECRETARY FREY: Sure. So the in re was update on the Project Cypress Direct
Air Capture Hub located in Southwest Louisiana, at the request of Chairman
Campbell.

17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. Read it.

SECRETARY FREY: Okay. Commissioner -- or Chairman Campbell has questions regarding this he'd like me to read out. So these are questions for Project Cypress and I think, as you mentioned earlier, he'd like someone to be present in October either from the State Energy Office or -- who can answer some of these questions. CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah. Let me explain what I'm doing. I heard - we heard a little bit about it last time. Just a little bit. And I've been digging in - nobody knows a lot about this. The government's going to spend \$600 million over
 in Calcasieu Parish, and I want to know about it, where's it going, how does it work.
 So read the questions now.

6 **SECRETARY FREY:** Okay. These are the questions.

7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: And we're not voting on this today. I would like to
8 move it to Mr. Skrmetta's meeting next month and have these questions answered.
9 We're going to give them out.

10 **SECRETARY FREY:** Question one: How is the project being funded? Two: 11 Who made the determination on the location or the parties involved? Three: 12 Exactly where and on whose property is the project being located? Four: How 13 much total government funding is being provided for the project? Five: Will 14 charges or fees be levied on those using the facility? If so, will these be one-time 15 charges, monthly charges, or use-based charges? And who receives these fees or 16 charges? Six: Who are all the parties involved in the project? And seven: There 17 are currently federal storage facilities in Southwest Louisiana. Has the government 18 considered owning this facility rather than leasing it?

19 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's it.

20 **COMMISSIONER ERIC SKRMETTA:** I have one question to add if you don't 21 mind. I want to add one question to the list, which is during the presentation from 22 the state, they said it was going to be running on green energy, and I'd like to 23 confirm that it's going to be running off of -- how they're going to run it off of green energy, like, as a industrial facility or if it's also going to run off of just regular energy services from the grid? So -- because if it's going to be running off of traditional power that produces carbon dioxide, you know, what's the overall purpose associated with it? But I'm trying -- curious as how they were making the initial claim of it running just off of green energy and how that was actually going to take place.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's a lot of questions unanswered. The federal
government spending \$600 million on property. Who picked the property, who
owns the property, how much money is involved? We have a facility. Y'all all
know about where we store oil. It's not very far from there. Strategic oil reserve.
Is that what they call it?

12 SECRETARY FREY: Strategic Petroleum Reserve, yes.

13 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. Strategic Petroleum Reserve. The federal 14 government owns that. If this is such a good deal, and it might be, and I'm all for 15 doing away with carbon dioxide in the air. If it's -- why don't we own it? Why 16 doesn't the government own it and pay the taxpayers back? The taxpayers are 17 fixing to put up \$600 million. Just like we own the oil reserves, why don't we own 18 this and you can pay the taxpayers back when people or whoever the polluters are, 19 whoever has this, when they dump in this waste facility or put it in the waste facility, 20 they're going to pay a fee. Who gets the fee? Does the fee go to a guy or does it -21 - can it go back to the federal government that's putting up the 600 million? Looks 22 like to me, if it is a disposal site, which it is, that's what you call it, it's going to be 23 gathering all this carbon dioxide. The government's going to put out \$600 million

1 to build it, why don't we get them to pay the government back for the 600 million. 2 That's all I want to ask. I've been contacted by, boy, you name it. My phone's 3 been ringing off the wall about this, that, and the other. And I told them, the ones 4 who -- well, I appreciate you passing that up. I said no, don't get -- we ain't --5 we're not passing up anything. We're just putting it off. We have questions and 6 we would like for y'all to come down to Jefferson Parish or wherever we're going 7 next month, Metairie, to answer the questions. So Brandon, send that to the 8 Governor's Office, DOE, DEQ, all -- to get some questions answered because 9 there's nobody that knows anything about this. And I want to know about it, I think 10 everybody up here would want to know about it. If utilities use it on our side, if Entergy uses it, I don't know, if they do, there's going to be a fee charged. Well, 11 12 that fee is going to go right on the consumer's bill. How much is that going to be? 13 That's all I want to know. I think we all ought to know about this new facility, and 14 everybody seems excited about it. And it's probably a good thing, but there's a lot 15 of questions unanswered. That's all I have to say. That's all I have to say. That's 16 it.

17 **MS. BOWMAN:** So no more announcements? We can move on?

18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Anybody else? [NONE HEARD]

MS. BOWMAN: All right. Moving on to Exhibit Number 2. It's Docket Number S-36714. This is DISH Wireless petition for designation as a Lifeline-Only eligible telecommunications carrier within Louisiana. It's a discussion possible vote on Staff report and recommendation. On March 21, 2023, DISH Wireless filed a petition seeking designation as an ETC solely to provide Lifeline services to

1 qualifying Louisiana customers. Notice of that request was published in the 2 Commission's Official Bulletin with no intervention or protests received. DISH is 3 a Colorado limited liability company providing resold wireless telecommunication 4 services operating in Louisiana and other states. DISH is designated as an ETC in 5 three other jurisdictions and is authorized by the California Public Utilities 6 Commission to provide California Lifeline service. In 2020, DISH Wireless 7 entered the retail wireless business by acquiring Boost Mobile brand, in 2021, 8 acquiring the Gen Mobile brand. Upon designation as a Lifeline-Only ETC, DISH 9 will provide supported services, including serving low-income Louisiana 10 customers, including those residing in Tribal lands, supplement the amount of 11 support available to its current Affordable Connectivity Program customers and 12 invite new, underserved customers to benefit from the Lifeline and other federal 13 support programs. Pursuant to Section 214(e) of the Act, the State Commissions 14 were given the authority to designate as ETCs common carriers that meet certain 15 federal service requirements and whose designation would be consistent with the 16 public interest, convenience, and necessity. And consistent with its authority under 17 federal law, this Commission issued its ETC general order, which created a list of 18 public interest criteria to be applied on a case-specific basis to all requests for ETC 19 designation in areas served by the rural telephone carriers. On August 30, 2023, 20 Staff filed its report and recommendation into the record which found that the 21 company demonstrated it can comply with the requirements of the Act, applicable 22 FCC regulations, and the ETC General Order. Staff also found that designation of 23 the company as an ETC for the purpose of receiving Lifeline support is in the public

- 1 interest. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Commission accept the Staff report
- 2 and recommendation filed into the record on August 30, 2023.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Motion to accept Staff recommendation.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's a motion to accept Staff recommendation.
- 5 Any objection?
- 6 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: I have just a quick question. Who represents
 7 them?
- 8 **MS. BOWMAN:** I think it's an out-of-state company but -- oh, is someone here?
- 9 Oh, great.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Would you come forward, please? Thank you.
- 11 SECRETARY FREY: Just hit the button and make the mic go green and sign
- 12 your name on the --
- 13 MS. BOWMAN: Right here on the --
- 14 **SECRETARY FREY:** And sign your name on the sheet up there so we'll have it
- 15 for the record.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you for coming.
- 17 **MS. BOWMAN:** And you can fill the card out after. Yeah.
- 18 MS. SOLA LEE: Good morning, Commissioners.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Sit down. Make yourself comfortable.
- 20 MS. LEE: It's been a while since I've attended --
- 21 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's okay.
- 22 MS. BOWMAN: Oh, no. Press the button again. As long as the green light is on,
- 23 it's on. There you go.

- 1 **MS. LEE:** It's been a while since I've attended, so bear with me. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's fine, that's fine.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** My question is: I actually haven't interacted
- 4 with you on this issue, so I'm going to have to ask for a roll call vote. And I'm
- 5 going to vote no because I haven't had a discussion with you on this.
- 6 MS. LEE: Commissioner, I did reach out to your office and your EA via email,
- 7 but maybe I should have arranged a phone call with you to have a further discussion.
- 8 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Yep.
- 9 MS. LEE: And I apologize for that and we can definitely have a --
- 10 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: That's okay. No, it's just a --
- 11 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** I'll give you his cell number.
- 12 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** You can have my cell number. That's widely
- 13 available, but there's always a way to find us.
- 14 **MS. LEE:** Sure.

15 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** So but it's just a -- I wouldn't give you 16 anymore slack than I give anybody else on this. I really -- in fact, we have the next 17 item is a company that we deferred on this but, you know, I don't know how the 18 other Commissioners feel about it, but I think it's important to discuss these issues 19 because we actually were asking about who represented this company at the last 20 meeting and it was an open discussion about this and I don't know if you were 21 observing via the Internet but, you know, we were trying to have them get in touch 22 with us and so we, you know, did not have anybody.

23 **MS. LEE:** I apologize.

- COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: That's okay. I just wanted to make sure you
 were aware of this.
- 3 MS. LEE: Okay. Sure. And I will definitely reach out to your office directly and
- 4 arrange a time for us --
- 5 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** That's fine. It may seem perfunctory to you
- 6 but it's important --
- 7 **MS. LEE:** Oh, absolutely, no.
- 8 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** -- to have the discussions about these issues
- 9 so I understand the overall issue about this because I don't think these particular
- 10 types of services need to be discussed, so. All right. Anyway, but that's it.
- 11 **MS. LEE:** All right. Thank you.
- 12 MS. BOWMAN: And I'm sorry. Can you also just identify yourself for the record,
- 13 please? Thank you.
- 14 **MS. LEE:** Oh, sure. Sola Lee, counsel for DISH Wireless, LLC.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: We have --
- 16 **MS. BOWMAN:** We have a motion by Commissioner Greene and opposition by
- 17 Commissioner Skrmetta, but we need a second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I'll second.
- 19 MS. BOWMAN: So second by the Chair, opposition by Commissioner Skrmetta,
- 20 so roll call vote. Commissioner Skrmetta?
- 21 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: No.
- 22 MS. BOWMAN: Commissioner Greene?
- 23 COMMISSIONER GREENE: Yes.

- 1 **MS. BOWMAN:** Commissioner Francis?
- 2 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yes.
- 3 **MS. BOWMAN:** Commissioner Lewis?
- 4 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Yes.
- 5 **MS. BOWMAN:** Commissioner Campbell?
- 6 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yes.
- 7 **MS. BOWMAN:** So the item passes.
- 8 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Thank you.
- 9 MS. LEE: Thank you, Commissioners. Have a great day.
- 10 **MS. BOWMAN:** Thank you.
- 11 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** You can just give them your card.

12 **MS. BOWMAN:** Yeah. You can fill it out at your seat and just come give it to

13 him when you get done. Thank you. Exhibit Number 3 is Docket Number S-36673.

14 It's Chevron Phillips Chemical Pipeline Company application to increase rates for

15 transportation of petroleum on its ethylene system for Commission Tariff 9.5. It's

16 a discussion and possible vote on Staff's recommendation. This was Exhibit 4 last

17 month. Chevron filed its application with the Commission on February 3, 2023,

18 requesting authorization to increase its rates for transportation of ethylene and it is

19 a for-profit jurisdictional common carrier petroleum pipeline providing ethylene

20 from Napoleonville in Ascension Parish to The Americas Styrenics plant in St.

21 James Parish. The Americas Styrenics plant is CPChem's sole Louisiana pipeline

- 22 customer. CPChem claims that an increase is necessary due to a significant
- 23 reduction of throughput volume over the past five years with costs remaining

1 relatively consistent over the same period. The company's initial request was to 2 increase its rates from 17.67 to 29.50 cents per 100 pounds. The customer was 3 provided notice of the proposed rate change via email on August 29, 2022. 4 Subsequent to the filing of the application, the company amended its tariff via the 5 FERC recently updated annual index rate, which allowed the company to increase 6 its rates from 17.6 cents to 20.02 cents per 100 pounds effective July 1, 2023. Thus, 7 the company's rate request changed to increase its rates in cents from 20.02 to 29.50 8 per 100 pounds. After a review of the five years of financial data from the company, 9 the FERC annual index multipliers, and a formal set of data requests, Staff agrees 10 that the increase is necessary and filed its recommendation on July 21, 2023, 11 recommending that the company be allowed to increase its intrastate transportation 12 rates from 20.02 cents to 29.50 cents per 100 pounds with the condition that if the 13 customer volume increases over 400,500,000 pounds, Staff recommends that the 14 company come back in to file with the Commission a reevaluation of its rates. 15 Therefore, Staff recommends that the Commission approve the Staff report and 16 recommendation filed into the record on July 21, 2023.

17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I have a -- is the people representing Chevron, will
18 y'all come up? Thank you for coming today. Thank you. Have a seat. You're
19 welcome. Thank you.

MS. BOWMAN: I'm sorry, Chairman. Real quick, so same thing, please identify
yourselves. The button on the mics, when it's green, it's hot and please fill out the
yellow card.

MR. GARY GARVERICK: Good morning. I'm Gary Garverick with Chevron
 Philips.

3 MS. APRIL ANDREWS: April Andrews with Chevron Philips.

- 4 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Thank you. I have a few questions I'd like to ask.
- 5 Okay. The price of oil today is 90 bucks a barrel. Is that correct? Thereabouts?
- 6 **MR. GARVERICK:** Roughly, yes.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah. And would you say the higher the price is of
 8 oil, the more money you make; is that correct?

9 MR. GARVERICK: Well, Chevron Phillips is a downstream company. We're
10 petrochemical --

11 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I know but you're owned by Chevron.

12 MR. GARVERICK: Fifty percent owned by Chevron and 50 percent Phillips 66.

13 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Well, the higher price of oil means that your 14 company makes more money. I'm just talking about Chevron in particular. Last 15 year, Chevron sales last year was \$267 billion. Billion. And the second quarter of 16 ²³, this year, Chevron paid \$7.6 billion to the shareholders if you owned Chevron 17 stock, 7.6 billion. Just to show you where we're at, Louisiana now is the poorest 18 state in America. You're aware of that? And gasoline is going up. Y'all admit 19 that? Higher price oil, gasoline goes up. This is an interesting statistic that I want 20 you to think about. From '21 to '23, we had 532,000 people living in poverty. This 21 is '21 to '23. This year 765,000 people live in poverty. So we went from 532 to 22 765, or 44 percent increase in poverty. Now, y'all are coming today as a big 23 company and I know you employ a lot of people. I got it. I mean, I know that. I'm

1 not going to tell you, you know, it's good that you have the refineries and all that 2 and I understand it. And there's been a lot of discussion about pollution and all. 3 I'm not blaming you for that. Although you deserve a lot of the blame, but I'm not 4 -- that's not here. What I'm saying today is that you're asking us to increase the 5 price that you can get or give y'all a rate increase when we have more people living 6 in poverty than any other state in America. It's growing. Y'all are making record 7 profits, but yet you want more at a terrible time. Ninety-one-dollar oil going this 8 way. It's not going this way. And when it goes this way, it helps you and the 9 people that work for you. It helps you but the little guy that's going to work every 10 morning, that goes by the filling station to get him some unleaded gas to go to work, 11 his family, it's killing him. So I can't -- I can't support this because I think it's ill-12 timed. It's a bad timing. I think your company is -- should have thought about this, 13 but that's just me. That's just me. I know about oil companies. I know a little bit 14 about natural gas production and stuff like that. But it's troubling to me that big 15 companies would ask for a rate increase at this time in Louisiana when our poverty 16 jumped up 44 percent and gasoline is going to approach \$4 and oil is \$91 a barrel. 17 I know that's good for your stockholders because last year you paid them -- you 18 paid your stockholders last quarter \$7 billion. I'm sure they're happy with your 19 stock. And that's good. That's good. Makes your company healthy. I understand 20 how many people you work. I got the picture. I'm just saying sometimes it's not 21 good for big major companies asking for rate increases at a bad time, and that's all. 22 So it's just me and I'm not for this. Somebody wants to take over. Mr. -- you pretty

1 much -- when I'm for something, you're against it, so let's have it. Go ahead, tell
2 me how I'm wrong.

3 **VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS:** Well, apparently, I am against it. I spent my 4 working life in the oil and gas industry, you know, and I worked with you guys for 5 over 50 years. Okay. Thank God you're here to produce our oil and gas and 6 produce the products that we do. And let me ask you this, talking about these big 7 dividends, these stockholders, how do your dividends to stockholders compare to 8 other companies across the world? Wouldn't you say you're probably about 9 average with other oil companies? From what I see as I followed it for 50 years, 10 that's what I've seen. Do you think your profits to your stockholders are exorbitant 11 or that you should give some of them up to the public or to a Public Service 12 Commissioner's wishes? Just yes or no, you don't have to get into detail.

MR. GARVERICK: I really can't speak for Chevron since I work for Chevron Phillips.

15 **MS. ANDREWS:** We are a separate entity.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Well, our Staff approved your request, and I'm going along with what our Staff did. I disagree with the Chairman as Vice Chairman. And I go along with the Staff, I'm going to vote yes to support you. And I think your dividends are in line and thank God you're here working Louisiana people. We'd like to see you add on to your corporation, so we're not going to run you out of town by making you give up profits for your stockholders. Thank you. MS. ANDREWS: Thank you, sir.

23 MR. GARVERICK: Thank you, Commissioner.

1 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Anybody else? Mr. Skrmetta.

COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Yeah. Thank y'all for coming today.
Actually, it was kind of interesting, you came to my office, and we had a long
discussion about this. And what I thought was interesting about your request for
your increase was sort of the reasons behind your rate increase. So your customer
is Americas Styrenics and your contract with them has been for how long? Your
service with them, how long has that been going on? Like years?

8 MR. GARVERICK: I mean, since our company formed, I think, in 2000. Well 9 -

10 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** So about 20 something years, more or less?

- 11 MS. ANDREWS: Yes.
- 12 MR. GARVERICK: Yes.

13 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** I'm not going to go super specific with you 14 so, you know, but it's been a while. So -- and I recollect you told me that, you 15 know, the reason that you were coming forward is because Americas Styrenics 16 started buying ethylene through the spot market, going into the open market instead 17 of buying from you. And so the cost associated with you maintaining, utilizing 18 your pipeline, doing everything is still there and you're not making any money from 19 not selling your ethylene, but you still got to pay for the pipeline to be maintained 20 up to standards and I guess PHMSA standards or whatever there are. That's about 21 right. So I just thought it would -- the great analogy of this is that we had regulated 22 pipeline mechanism and sort of this function of the open market of like people 23 buying their styrene -- I mean ethylene off on the other element still shows the need

1 for infrastructure to be funded and taking care of so it doesn't get abandoned or run 2 derelict and how that does impact costs associated with infrastructure and need to 3 be serviced. And I think that creates an interesting allegory for all regulated utilities 4 and how we have to look at that regulatory compact on how we function in this. So 5 I just thought it was an interesting element. I'm supporting you in this. I think 6 Staff's worked hard on it. I think that it's demonstrative of, you know, pipelines 7 are a difficult animal to deal with in the first place. So I'm going to go ahead and 8 support you on that. So thank you.

9 **MS. ANDREWS:** Thank you, sir.

10 **MR. GARVERICK:** Thank you.

11 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: You know, if I used the -- I'm not voting for it 12 anyway, but if I used the Skrmetta rule, I would vote against you twice. Y'all didn't 13 come see old Foster. I never heard from you. No big deal. But you know, his deal 14 is if you don't come see him, he don't vote for you. Well, I wasn't voting for you 15 anyway. I guess you -- you knew that, but you didn't even pick up the phone and 16 try to explain anything. The first time I've ever seen you in my life was five minutes 17 ago when you walked up to me and said that we got something up today. So I didn't 18 use the Skrmetta rule. I used the Campbell rule. I think this is greedy, and you're 19 very nice people. And I know your company is doing very well and I appreciate 20 them being here. It's just philosophically I think this is a terrible time to do it. 21 That's all. But you ought to call old Foster because he might surprise you one day. 22 I might be even for you but you don't know that if you don't call. That's all.

1 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Mr. Chairman, I have some questions. As I've been 2 reviewing this, I just am curious to know as you mentioned in the testimony that it 3 was -- this is declining sales, and so I'm just wondering what is your thought 4 process around to keep sales up so you're not having to constantly ask for that rate 5 increase. I know there's only one company within my district, and so I'm just 6 wondering what is the conversations around reversing the trends that we've seen 7 with the decline and especially with using a different provider for some of the 8 chemicals in the district.

9 MR. GARVERICK: Yeah. So our commercial manager for ethylene has been 10 reaching out to Americas Styrenics and trying to, you know, work with them to get 11 volume to increase. So that's the direction we're headed. And, you know, that 12 company is 50 percent owned by CPChem so we do have, you know, the best 13 interest, you know, to improve that volume, so that's the direction we're headed 14 hopefully.

15 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Are there any concerns that a rate increase may16 contribute to further declines in sales and revenue over this pipeline?

17 **MS. ANDREWS:** No. Not that we know of.

18 **MR. GARVERICK:** Not that we know of.

19 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: And with the one sole customer, what have those20 conversations been about the future, I guess, of the pipeline?

MR. GARVERICK: Yeah. So they, you know, want to continue working with
us. We feel like we're a good owner of the pipeline. We do have Chevron Pipeline

23 Company that operates that pipeline and a very strong history of safety with the

pipeline operations, so we continue -- want to continue to work through with the
 company and be that provider of choice for them.

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: And can you elaborate further why you didn't go
for the full, kind of, recovery amount that you could from the loss revenue sales?
You went with a lower number, and I'm just kind of curious about what that
determination was.

MR. GARVERICK: Sure. Well, we're hopeful that that volume will increase over time and that will eventually cover what we feel like is the expense on pipeline maintenance. We didn't want to be overly greedy, honestly, as a company. We felt like this rate increase was fair to Americas Styrenics, our sole customer, and like I said, we want to work with them in the future to increase that volume through the pipeline.

13 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Thank you. And I share some of the broader 14 concerns that Chairman Campbell answered, so can you -- and I know we had a 15 conversation about this in my office yesterday -- can you enlighten us on what your 16 sustainability metrics are as a company to kind of improve the environment from 17 what we've seen from CO2 emissions over the last 50 years?

MR. GARVERICK: Certainly. You know, Chevron Phillips as a company is very focused on sustainability. We do have a group dedicated to sustainability efforts over the last couple years. We've grown that group to 13 people in the organization just focused on sustainability. We issue out a sustainability report every year that talks about that information, and I'm happy to share that. It's public information out on the website. We are involved in CCS efforts working as well with other companies. We do have an involvement with the Alliance to End Plastic Waste, and we are one of the main companies focused on contributing to that organization to help globally ending plastic waste in society. We also are very interested in climate change as well. We have a goal of 15 percent reduction in carbon intensity by 2030 as well as other efforts within improving our technology at our facilities to use more sustainable technologies to reduce CO2 emissions.

- 7 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Thank you.
- 8 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Is there any further questions? [HEARING NONE]
- 9 So since an objection, I guess you have to call the role.
- 10 **MS. BOWMAN:** We need a motion first.
- 11 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move to accept Staff recommendation.
- 12 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Second.
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Second.
- 14 MS. BOWMAN: Motion back Commissioner Skrmetta, seconded by
- 15 Commissioner Francis, objection by Chairman.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah.
- 17 MS. BOWMAN: So we'll call vote. Commissioner Lewis?
- 18 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Yes.
- 19 **MS. BOWMAN:** Commissioner Francis?
- 20 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yes.
- 21 **MS. BOWMAN:** Commissioner Greene?
- 22 COMMISSIONER GREENE: Yes.
- 23 **MS. BOWMAN:** Commissioner Skrmetta?

- 1 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yes.
- 2 MS. BOWMAN: Commissioner Campbell?
- 3 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: No.
- 4 **MS. BOWMAN:** So item passes.
- 5 **MS. ANDREWS:** Thank you for your time.
- 6 MR. GARVERICK: Thank you, Commissioners.

7 MS. BOWMAN: So Exhibit Number 4 -- Exhibit Number 4 is Docket Number R-8 30479. This is the Commission's development of a funding mechanism for 9 jurisdictional gas utilities for research and development programs. It's a discussion 10 and possible vote on Staff's report and recommendation. In General Order dated 11 October 28, 2008, the Commission authorized the creation of the Louisiana 12 Research and Development Committee which is a coalition of representatives from 13 Commission Staff and all Group I gas utility companies. The RDC is tasked with 14 reviewing proposals for R&D projects and selecting projects that have a reasonable 15 chance to benefit Louisiana gas utility customers within a reasonable amount of 16 time. The selected projects are funded through the UTD and OTD, as applicable, 17 by an R&D charge of \$1.00 per meter per year. The R&D charge is submitted by 18 the Group I gas utilities to the UTD and to the OTD, and Group I gas utilities may 19 recover this charge through their respective rates or via other recovery mechanisms 20 at the discretion of the utility. General Order dated October 28, 2008 also 21 establishes that the R&D charge is for a three-year period and at which time the 22 RDC was required to review the results of the charge and determine if it should be 23 continue or whether it should be cancelled. The provisions of the General Order

1	were renewed in 2011, 2014, 2017, and 2020. Since the last renewal, the RDC has
2	held annual meetings to review the general progress of the natural gas R&D
3	programs both directly funded by the RDC and to which the RDC members have
4	access. At the '23 meeting, the RDC members agreed that the program sufficiently
5	proved its value and should be authorized to continue for another three years. Staff
6	has attended the meetings and reviewed the positions of the member
7	representatives. Staff filed its report and recommendation on August 29, 2023,
8	wherein it found that the benefits created by the charge have remained consistent
9	since the charge was first authorized, and that the RDC has functioned efficiently
10	and effectively and should be renewed for another three terms, subject to
11	conditions. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Commission accept its report and
12	recommendation filed into the record on August 29, 2023.
13	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's a is there a motion?
14	COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Mr. Chairman, I have some questions.
15	COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: A motion by Mr. Lewis.
17	COMMISSIONER LEWIS: No, I have some questions.
18	COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Oh [INAUDIBLE]
19	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Oh, you got questions?
20	COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Yeah, just a few. Just a few.
21	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. Mr. Lewis, you got a question you want
22	[INAUDIBLE]

1 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Just simply because this is my first time, I just --2 some clarifying questions just for me to kind of understand and know exactly what 3 is happening. So can we -- somebody tell me with the total annual funding for the 4 program based on the \$1 meter charge is? That may be -- I'm sorry if that's too 5 specific.

6 MS. LAUREN EVANS: Lauren Evans on behalf of Staff.

7 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Or just a ballpark, more of an estimate.

8 **MS. EVANS:** That's a number I don't have, but that I can get to you easily.

9 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Thank you. And do we know what is the Louisiana
10 share of that --

MS. EVANS: Okay. Commissioner, I'm sorry, I have Jessica Kayuha here from
our Utilities Division and she has a guesstimate for you.

13 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Okay. Perfect.

MS. JESSICA KAYUHA: Hi. Jessica Kayuha on behalf of Staff. So it's \$1 per meter for every single customer, no matter what size you are, if you're commercial or residential. And there's about 379,000 natural gas customers in the state, so about \$379,000. And so, I mean, it gets leveraged though, so from that \$379,000 it gets leveraged into millions of dollars.

19 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Perfect. Thank you. And do we know how many 20 projects did the Louisiana RDC request that have been approved by national 21 groups? Is that -- is there anybody who can speak to that from the gas utilities?

22 MS. EVANS: So I actually have a representative from GTI, Mr. Bob Morris, here

but I can tell you -- I mean, I don't have the exact number of projects but I've got

the types of projects and that was listed in Staff's report but I can go ahead and list
 them off for you if you'd like.

3 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Perfect. Just a few.

4 MS. EVANS: Sure. So we've got customer safety ones and that's residential 5 methane detectors that are commercially available and recommended by the 6 National Transportation Safety Board. We've got vacuum system during purge 7 operations developed to commission new or repair pipes venting gas to the 8 atmosphere. We've got evaluation of performance of advanced mobile leak 9 detectors and remote methane leak detectors that are commercially available. And 10 then we've also got all kinds of end-use stuff, heat pump water heaters, mitigating 11 methane emissions from end-use equipment, and the list goes on and on.

12 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Thank you. And I guess the last question that I have,

and it may be for you or one of the gas utilities is: Is any shareholder funding
contributing to the R&D budgets or is this solely just the \$1 customer per meter

15 going into this project?

16 **MS. EVANS:** This is just the \$1 customer meter.

17 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Okay. Thank you.

18 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Is the gentleman here that's -- you said the
19 gentleman's here? I'd like to ask him some questions if you don't mind coming
20 up.

21 MS. EVANS: Sure.

22 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Thank you for coming today.

MS. BOWMAN: And, Mr. Morris, same drill. Please fill out a yellow card and press the button, when the light's green, you're hot, and introduce yourself for the record.

4 MR. BOB MORRIS: Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Bob Morris with GTI
5 Energy.

6 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Bob, I'm -- spent my life in the oil and gas
7 industry. I'm real prejudiced to natural gas. I'm looking for ways to make it better.
8 And your -- your program is to recommend ways that we can do it safer and more
9 wisely, right? Do you work all over the country or just Louisiana?

10 **MR. MORRIS:** We work nationwide. Actually, we have members also in Canada 11 and in Europe, in Paris, France. So how the collaborative works is the customers 12 in Louisiana pay their dollar per year per meter which is -- this is an incredible 13 value. Don't want to get preachy on that one, but those dollars are leveraged up to 14 about 14 million just in the US on OTD projects. That's contributed from about 90 15 percent of the utilities across the country. So they're -- so the CenterPoint, Atmos, 16 and Entergy are joined by all of the major utilities, gas utilities in the US and also 17 Enbridge in Canada and FortisBC in Canada as well. So their dollars, as they put 18 their dollar into the pot, all of the other utilities, some larger, some smaller, put their 19 dollar into that R&D pot as well. And then our folks are excellent at working with 20 federal government, with California Energy Commission, Department of Energy, 21 PHMSA on safe, reliable delivery and all those activities involved in our pipeline 22 protection system. So we've -- we will fund on a -- we call it co-funding basis, 23 perhaps OTD or UTD for that matter or Department of Energy project may put in

1 \$100,000 on a \$2 million plus project. So the small commitment from the

2 ratepayers here in Louisiana are leveraged tremendously in the R&D space.

3 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Are our customers paying the same rate that,

- 4 say, other states are paying for safety?
- 5 MR. MORRIS: Yes.
- 6 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: So it's across the board?
- 7 **MR. MORRIS:** Across the board.

8 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: How is our safety rating here Louisiana versus
9 other states? Because you're looking at that also, right?

10 **MR. MORRIS:** Yes, yes. Very good and the participants here, Entergy, Atmos, 11 and CenterPoint are very active with us. We have a board members, several are 12 here, past and present are here in this session. And we also have a technical project 13 committee. These are the folks that are very active in understanding, learning, the 14 projects, and their goal is to oversee those -- is select, fund, and oversee those 15 projects. So GTI Energy, we act as the R&D service company. We are led by our 16 utilities, by their needs, by all of the projects mentioned there, from Lauren and 17 from Jessica are brought to us primarily from -- either from government agencies 18 or from the utilities themselves. So we are their contractor. We do their work in 19 the R&D space.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Well, the utilities, they know if they've got some bad lines that need replaced and how fast they should do it.

22 MR. MORRIS: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Wouldn't you think the utilities that are handling it know more than the government knows about how fast we need to replace? And you're watching the safety side. In your opinion, are we changing out these old lines in Louisiana as rapidly and spending enough money to get it done?

- 5 MR. MORRIS: Yes.
- 6 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: So you're satisfied we're moving --
- 7 **MR. MORRIS:** Yes.
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Okay. All right. Well, all right. Good. That's
 9 all I have.
- 10 MR. MORRIS: Sure. Thank you. Any other questions? [NONE HEARD] Well,
- 11 thank you. And I will just mention, too, that we report once a year. We reported
- 12 August 2nd. It's open to Commissioners and Staff and others join us, usually we're
- 13 right here in this room. I've been doing this job for about 12 years, so I've been
- 14 here a number of times and I appreciate it very much.
- 15 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Thank you.
- 16 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Thank you for your service.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Anybody else? Any other comments? [NONE
- 18 HEARD] Is there a motion?
- MS. BOWMAN: There's a motion by Commissioner Skrmetta, but we'll entertain
 a second.
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Second.
- 22 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's a motion and a second, no objections. It's
- 23 passed. Okay. Next item.

1 MS. BOWMAN: Exhibit Number 5 is Docket Number R-31106. This is the 2 Commission's rulemaking to study the possible development of financial 3 incentives for the promotion of energy efficiency by jurisdictional electric and gas 4 utilities. It's a discussion and possible vote on a budget increase for J. Kennedy & 5 Associates. During the development of Phase II rules for energy efficiency, Atmos 6 and CenterPoint Energy both filed applications to participate in the Commission's 7 Quick Start Program. Atmos' application was filed December 2021 and 8 CenterPoint's application was filed July of this year. These applications were not 9 contemplated in J. Kennedy's budget approved by the Commission in December 10 2020. Further, pursuant to the Commission's Quick Start rules, Plan Years 7 and 8 11 are now complete and need to be audited. J. Kennedy has performed audits for 12 each of the three IOUs, Cleco, Entergy, and SWEPCO for Plan Years 1 through 6, 13 with the last two years recently approved by the Commission. Further, Atmos now 14 being part of the Quick Start rules will also need to be audited for Plan Year 8. 15 Given these unanticipated changes in scope, J. Kennedy submitted a proposed 16 budget to address Atmos and CenterPoint's Quick Start applications for 29,000 in 17 fees, as well as the next two years of audits for the three IOUs and Atmos for 18 110,000 in fees. J. Kennedy also included a proposed monthly cap of \$25,000 to 19 either assist in Phase II implementation until the Commission can retain a third-20 party administrator or for continued work on Phase II rules beyond 2023. Given 21 the unanticipated change in scope, and the need for audits of the last two years of 22 Quick Start plans, Staff recommends the Commission approve the budget increase 23 of J. Kennedy for 139,000 in fees. Staff makes no formal recommendation on J.

Kennedy's proposal for the monthly cap of \$25,000 in fees to assist in either the
 Phase II implementation or continued work on Phase II rules beyond 2023.

3 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** I motion to reject the budget expansion, and I 4 think the Commission should put out audits out for bid separately. I think the 5 Commission is within the grasp of finishing the rules, and I think Kathryn can 6 handle that if Phil doesn't want to help her.

7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Let me ask you a question. Mr. Greene, explain that
8 completely. Go back -- let's -- tell me, you have items you want to talk about?

9 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** No. It's just he's been paid \$591,000 and \$750 10 since the inception of the docket. And we've paid him to do the EE audits of the 11 Quick Start programs and to produce a rule that Commissioners agree with. I feel 12 like he's kind of rubberstamped the audits and then despite Commissioner 13 involvement and for communication what's wanted, he's failed to produce an 14 actionable rule to be voted on. In fact, sometimes even more hampering the 15 resolution of this docket. So I think after 13 years, it's -- we can probably get it 16 across the finish line our self or put it out to bid.

17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Is he here, the gentleman -- Mr. Hayet, is that his18 name?

19 MS. BOWMAN: Yes, sir.

20 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Is he here? Go ahead. Why don't you -- there's
21 been some pretty good accusations made, so it's your time.

MR. PHIL HAYET: Yes. Commissioners, thank you for having me up here to
address these concerns. And I understand the concerns that the Commissioner has

1 expressed, and we agree that it has gone on for a long time. We agree for that. We 2 have worked on audits for up to Plan Years 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, and we proposed to 3 work on 7 and 8. That has been -- our budget request, that has required a good part 4 of the budget so we have spent each time. With regard to the concerns about getting 5 this over the hump with the rule, we have written four drafts of the rule, and we're 6 actually working on a fifth draft. The rule has gone from a utility led approach to 7 explore other alternative approaches, including what's been referred to as a 8 Commission led third-party administrator approach in which utilities would not be 9 in charge of operating and running the programs, but a third party would be brought 10 into the state. We've examined how that works in other states. There are a few 11 states in the country in which a third-party administrator approach works. We've 12 looked at that throughout and looked at what it would take to bring that into the 13 state of Louisiana. We provided reports that have been addressed. We've worked 14 closely with Commissioner Greene and his Staff in providing reports on that and 15 there at this point seems to be a strong desire to move towards the third-party 16 approach. We've explored, again, questions about the third-party approach and 17 examined a utility led approach. But it appears that it is the desire of the 18 Commission to move towards the third-party. There are other issues that have come 19 up along the way, things such as the public entities program issues, desires on the 20 part of the Commission to have this be Staff led approach, more along the lines of 21 strictly a public entities approach. We've examined all these things and that's why 22 we're at the point we are now hoping to complete the rule. We've got a path 23 forward to finish. Our goal is to finish by December of this year. Not everything

is in our control. So for that reason, we've also addressed an issue if the rulemaking
continues into January 2024. But again, we're hoping to serve at the pleasure of
the Commission, to try to complete this project, get the -- a vote by the Commission
at the end of December and to complete audits, two more years for the audits and
also bring CenterPoint into the Quick Start fold.

6 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Are you saying you can finish it by December?

7 **MR. HAYET:** The goal is to get a vote by December, yes.

8 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Well, the goal -- is it achievable or is it just a goal? 9 **MR. HAYET:** It's achievable because we do have the -- we have a rule. We're 10 going to present the rule as we have, we're going to try to meet with Commissioners 11 to talk about it between now and when we present this to the Commission. We 12 think that it's achievable because we have a very -- a draft, which is far along the 13 line and we think that we can get this done if the Commission is willing to move 14 forward with an approach. There's no guarantee that the approach that we present 15 will be adopted, but we're going to try to meet with the Commissioners ahead to 16 make sure that we have support by the Commissioners and there can be a vote. If 17 we can, then yes, December is achievable.

18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: How much more money do you want?

MR. HAYET: We are not asking for any more money to complete the rulemaking between now and December. If it goes beyond that and we have not worked -- we have also not worked and charged Commissioners for -- the Commission for any time throughout basically the last year of our working on this project. So essentially, we've worked at no cost to this Commission for the past year. We are going to continue that through December. After December, and we believe it's
 achievable to get this done by the end of December and have a vote at the December
 B&E.

4 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So you don't need any money now but after
5 December if you don't get it completed you'll need some money; is that correct?

6 MR. HAYET: Regarding the rulemaking, we're not asking for any money.

7 Regarding the next set of audits, which have not been funded, we're asking for --

8 so that we can begin [INAUDIBLE] Years 7 and 8, the audits of Years 7 and 8.

9 We've done Years 1 through 6 already. And 7 and 8, we're asking for --

10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: And you're asking for \$28,000; is that it?

11 **MR. HAYET:** For that we're asking \$110,000.

12 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: 110,000.

MR. HAYET: For work that we do on the CenterPoint application, which is a new request, we're asking for \$20,000. And we're also seeking recovery of costs that were never part of any budget that we requested that have contributed to our going over budget of 9,000 for Atmos, all of which have been supported by Staff.

17 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** So my question, Phil, is it seems like we had this 18 discussion in 2020 and then we had a goal set for you, September/October. And 19 my other concern is it seems like you've somewhat disregarded Commissioners 20 input on the way we want this to head. What are your thoughts on that?

21 **MR. HAYET:** Yeah. I appreciate that and I don't exactly see it that way. My 22 thoughts are that yes, we had a directive working with you and your Staff on doing 23 a certain direction and we wanted to have full support of the Commission to know that once that direction -- once we go forward with that, that's the only plan. So our goal was this: We did research, we presented that. Then we did a very detailed rule on the basis of that research and on the basis and we did a probably a 40-page rule on what we would call Commission led statewide TPA approach. The comments back on that were difficult, not in favor.

6 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** From the utilities?

7 **MR. HAYET:** From the utilities.

8 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Well, that's not surprising, right?

9 **MR. HAYET:** That's not surprising. The other problem with that rule was that 10 when we did research in multiple states that do have these and there are only about 11 four states in the country that have it, and actually two have gone away from this 12 approach. The difficulty that we had with it was that the states that have it have 13 buy-in from throughout their state and they have -- they also have these entities 14 such as what we call a fiscal agent and an energy manager. So when we developed 15 that rule, not only was it opposed by the utilities but it also had aspects about it that 16 made it more complex and led to higher administrative costs. So at that point, what 17 we proposed to do was to do what we would call a term sheet and try to get the 18 approval on a term sheet that led to either a TPA or a utility led. And when we did 19 the term sheet, we've simplified the TPA, we took out complexities that were 20 causing administrative cost. At that point, we'd then moved forward to about April. 21 We then were asked, there was the discussion at the Commission that said we want 22 to go out for comments on rules. And at that point, it was asked us to take the TPA 23 rule, go out for comments, which we did, and then we presented those comments

1 to the Commission. That was in at approximately August. Again, I think you're 2 aware of what the comments said, we summarized them. We serve at the pleasure 3 of the Commission and if the Commission would prefer to have a rule written in 4 one direction, we are happy to do that. We have that rule. I would say that that 5 rule is 90 percent done. I think issues in the rule that have to be dealt with, and we 6 have an approach for it, are things like how the public entity will be treated. That 7 the TPA issue, we have that addressed. And one of the -- the issue of LCFC, which 8 has been a complexity and we're planning to go forward in that direction. So yes, 9 we serve at the pleasure of the Commission and we'll do what the Commission 10 wants and we'll provide the rule that you would like to see, Commissioner. And in 11 fact, ninety percent --

12 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Cause four out of the five us say let's move in the 13 direction of the Commission-led TPA and it seems like you just didn't go in that 14 direction.

MR. HAYET: As I said, we've got a very detailed rule written. We want to make sure that it's on the table and everybody is aware of all the issues. And when we received the comments, we received a lot of comments including issues of legal matters that we have addressed -- that Commission Staff has addressed. And like I said, we are happy to continue to work. If you choose to go in another direction, we totally understand but we're happy to get this done, we'd like to get this done, and would like to get a vote for December.

22 COMMISSIONER GREENE: Can you assure this is the last budget increase
 23 over 13 years?

MR. HAYET: I will do my darnedest to make sure it's absolute because we don't
 want to continue, trust me.

3 COMMISSIONER GREENE: And look, if it passes, I welcome your help and
4 support. It just it feels stagnant and not in the direction that we had intended. So
5 maybe if this is just a jumpstart maybe, you know.

MR. HAYET: Commissioner Greene, I respect you more than you will ever know
and I think that you do an excellent job and I truly am glad I get to -- and I'm not
just saying that. I've appreciated working with you and David Zito on this project.

9 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** I feel a but coming.

MR. HAYET: I just wanted the opportunity to say that. If I had the chance to
shake your hand before the meeting, I would've said that.

12 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Well I appreciate the work you've done. I've just 13 felt frustrated with not getting it across cause I think it's a big deal and we need 14 people's help to get it right. And so -- go ahead.

MR. HAYET: If you allow us to continue to work, our intention is to try to meet with the Commissioners, to try to go through. We've wanted to be clear what we're doing with public entities. Public entities is being absorbed within the program in a way that we want to talk to you about. We want to discuss the LCFC and the treatment that we are proposing for that. We want to talk about where we're going on performance incentives. So we want the opportunity to make sure that when we come to the Commission and have a vote, it's clear what's in the rule. COMMISSIONER GREENE: Sure. Well I think I could support the budget
 increase if it's the last one. Meaning, if it goes beyond that, it's kind of on your
 time. And if it's in the direction of the Commission-led TPA.

4 MR. HAYET: We're also seeking budget for implementation. When we worked 5 on the Quick Start, once the Quick Start was done, there was a technical conference, 6 everybody had to be familiar with the rule. It was a process of developing rate 7 rider. We worked with people, so we also present to you with that. Again, if you 8 prefer to go out for, you know, hiring, we would be very [INAUDIBLE].

9 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Well I'd rather not have someone come in in the 10 middle of a bad joke, so you know. But I needed to hear from you that you're 11 committed to getting this across the finish line and not -- I mean 13 years, we can't 12 call it Quick Start anymore.

13 MR. HAYET: It's not a Quick Start, it's a slow start.

14 COMMISSIONER GREENE: And are you clear on the Commission-led third-15 party?

MR. HAYET: I am clear on the Commission-led third-party and that will be the
only thing that you'll see.

18 COMMISSIONER GREENE: Okay. And I'll probably not vote for more budget
19 increases because I think that if we're 90-95 percent there, this is enough to get it
20 across.

MR. HAYET: Okay. So again, the budget proposal has a few parts. I think
everybody should be very clear.

23 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Two separates.

MR. HAYET: Okay. It's to work on CenterPoint, it was in Atmos, it was the fact that we're seeking the audits. And if we're asked to continue to work in January on rulemaking, we've got a portion on the budget for that. And also, if we're asked to work on implementation matters that after the Commission approves, there's a component in the budget request for that.

- 6 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** I understand. I think we're on the same page now.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Lewis?
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: [INAUDIBLE].
- 9 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah, you got two questions, Mr. Lewis.
- 10 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Just one clarification. After that discussion, I
- 11 withdraw my motion to --
- 12 **MS. BOWMAN:** To reject?
- COMMISSIONER GREENE: Yeah. And I motion to accept, given the
 comments that we've had.
- 15 **MR. HAYET:** Thank you.
- 16 **MS. BOWMAN:** Can we clarify -- I'm sorry. Can we clarify what is the motion
- 17 to accept? Just the additional budget for the audits and the work to be completed
- 18 and the monthly cap or one?
- 19 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** I think both.
- 20 **MS. BOWMAN:** Both. Okay. I just want to make clear on the record what the 21 motion was for.
- 22 COMMISSIONER GREENE: Because I think we covered both in our discussion
 23 right now.

- 1 **MR. HAYET:** I did, yes. Or well, I mean, I think I did with you.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Lewis?
- 3 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Thank you. And, Kathryn and Phil, you can correct
- 4 me if I'm wrong. So I just want to make sure I'm clear. So the two requests that
- 5 you have is the first request is 29,000 for work that was done with adding Atmos
- 6 and CenterPoint?
- 7 MR. HAYET: No, so its --
- 8 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Well, a portion of that first request that you've 9 made.
- 10 **MR. HAYET:** -- 9,000 is Atmos.
- 11 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** And then the 20 --
- 12 **MR. HAYET:** 20,000 is audits.
- 13 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** And then the other 110,000 --
- 14 MR. HAYET: I'm sorry. 20,000 is CenterPoint and the 110,000 is for the audit
- 15 work.
- 16 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Okay. And so you are requesting this for the Year
- 17 7 and 8 of the audit. So that 110 is for these up two coming audits?
- 18 MR. HAYET: Yes.
- 19 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** And then the 29,000 would be from work that was
- 20 not originally included when Atmos and CenterPoint joined the Quick Start
- 21 program?
- 22 MR. HAYET: Correct.

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: And then you are also recommending -- or asking
 that if the work of the Phase II rules go beyond December, then there is a \$25,000
 cap per month?

4 **MR. HAYET:** That's right. We don't think it'll be there. Commission needs to 5 have certainty on what a cost will be. We do not think it'll be at that, but that's a 6 cap that we've presented to you and we will stick to. It would possibly only be a 7 few thousand in the month of January and the vote happens in January and it's over. 8 At that point, we'd like to offer you that we would continue to work to do the kinds 9 of tasks that we did when the -- that we're very familiar with, that happened during 10 the implementation phase of the Quick Start and those same tasks are going to have 11 to happen until the hiring of the public administrator takes place.

12 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Exactly. And the reason I'm asking this is because, 13 as I mentioned earlier, in the IRA there is an energy efficiency grant program and 14 I think our process needs to be aware that there's a lot of money on the table that 15 can come to Louisiana if we do not wrap this up that we could lose. And so that 16 means we can do bigger, stronger projects that builds a more weatherized system 17 and a more energy efficient system in our state. And so I wanted to stress the 18 importance of the timeline here being matched with where the federal program is 19 going because we know there's going to be a significant amount of work that the 20 federal government is going to look at what we have done, whether or not we 21 continue with the utility-led program or move to a TPA. And I mean, I've read all 22 the comments and I've looked at all of that and I mean, I'll tell you I was, and they 23 know this, very disappointed in four of the five IOUs who decided to derail the

1 process, in my opinion, by going back to a draft rule that was 2019 instead of 2 commenting on the draft rule that we published, I want to say, in our earlier 3 meetings. And so I just want to be cautious that I'm not sure everyone's playing 4 fair with us here and we should not use that as a reason to slow down this process 5 because I think there is an incentive to -- oddly enough to use that word, to ensure 6 that we don't get to a resolution on this. And so looking at that federal timeline, 7 that is my push, that I hope in this conversation that we just had with the 8 Commission Greene and today that we really try to stick to this timeline so we can 9 complement the federal dollars that will flow in energy efficiency. Thank you, Mr.

- 10 Chairman. I'm done.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Okay. Ms. Bowman?
- 12 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Francis.
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Ms. Bowman?
- 14 MS. BOWMAN: Yes, sir?
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: The Staff recommends budget increase of
 16 139,000 --
- 17 MS. BOWMAN: Yes, sir.
- 18 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: -- driven by -- what is this? Given by
 19 unanticipated change in the scope.
- 20 MS. BOWMAN: Yes, sir.
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Whose idea was it to change the scope?
- 22 MS. BOWMAN: Well, so the change in scope was Atmos and CenterPoint Energy
- 23 wanting to join in the Quick Start program. Since right now the Quick Start is

1 voluntary, the utilities can elect to opt in and conduct their own energy efficiency 2 programs or not. Atmos and CenterPoint have decided they now want to join and 3 so in that process, the rule's Staff and Phil, as our consultant, looks at their 4 applications, makes sure it complies with our Quick Start rules, makes sure that the 5 energy efficiency programs they want to implement are in fact following the 6 standards we have in place. So that takes some effort. So those filings were not 7 anticipated when the last higher-end budget increase was given since the budget increase was in 2020 and their filings occurred after 2020. 8

9 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: What was the cost? Do you remember the cost
10 before this ask? How much money was spent on the electric side? Do you
11 remember?

- 12 MS. BOWMAN: Cost on what? J. Kennedy's budget?
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah, yeah, yeah.
- 14 **MS. BOWMAN:** It's a little over \$500,000 total but that's since 2010.
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: So we're going -- but the gas companies are
- 16 going to get involved in this now --
- 17 MS. BOWMAN: Correct.

18 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: -- and we need 139,000 more to continue the
19 same process.

MS. BOWMAN: Well, we need about 29,000 for the gas companies to get involved and for us to look at their plans and then the other 110 is to conduct the audits for the last two years of Quick Start that have occurred already. So our rules say every two years, Staff does an audit of the participating utilities' programs to make sure they are actually doing energy savings and the benefits are there. And
J. Kennedy has conducted those since the beginning of Quick Start. And so, given
that those two years are now done, it made sense for J. Kennedy to continue those
audits and to get the increase for the 110.

5 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Okay. Good. Thank you.

6 **MS. BOWMAN:** You're welcome.

7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Skrmetta?

8 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** You know, Phil, I mean I don't have a 9 problem with your budget, but I'd give you \$10,000 an hour for every minute you 10 spent in my office talking to me about this program because you haven't been there 11 once. And you've decided that this discussion about this whole program is going 12 to involve one Commissioner and one executive assistant. And it's just 13 unacceptable to me, so I expect you to be in my office. I don't care if it's an hour, 14 a day, or a week to go through this, because you've come to some decision with 15 one or two people deciding how this program's going to be. And you're, you know, 16 stating it into this microphone that, you know, Commission approval and 17 Commission agreement, Staff agreement. But, you know, you're going to make 18 this reveal down the road about something that's a big package, that's making 19 bigger government. And to me, I'm just not happy about how you've approached 20 this. And I'm glad, you know, you want to shake the hand of Commission Greene, 21 I'm glad you want to go shake the hand of Mr. Zito, and I don't care if you ever 22 want to shake my hand. But my problem is, you know, the focus of how this has 23 been and turn this into a one man show when this is five Commissioners. And you

1 know, I'm disappointed in the fact that this is how that this has transpired, you 2 know. And I've had my problems with this simply because of seeing money that 3 can go to work for the citizens of Louisiana being focused on dedicating it to 4 government positions and management positions when they're highly unnecessary 5 in my viewpoint. When it could be put to work and spending money for the citizens, 6 whether it's through the public entities program or through the utility programs to 7 get it out and get it into the field to make it work instead of paying people a salary 8 or paying contract work. But the fact is I don't really know what your program is. 9 I don't know what it is, I don't know how it is, I don't know the details and I am 10 the king of minutia. And I would love to sit down and go through this with you, 11 but I don't know what the hell you've got. You know, you're sitting here waxing 12 poetic about this, but I do not know what you have. I do not know if you're going 13 to allow the, you know, utility recoup. I don't know what your overall plan is. I 14 don't know how much the budgeting you're all going to allow for these managers. 15 I don't know if you're going to allow -- when you said Staff-led public entity 16 program, what that means. If you're going to, you know, exclude the 17 Commissioners from their participation. I don't know what any of this means 18 because you're not engaging with -- certainly not engaging with me and my office, 19 you know. And I had, you know, Staff come in with me and they said they could 20 get you on the phone. It's not going to work for me, Phil and I'm going to go ahead 21 and vote for you to give you your money, but I expect you to be in my office or I 22 will personally make sure that I make the right argument that you don't ever get 23 another contract at the Commission. Because if you're not going to engage with

each Commissioner on this basis, and you're only going to focus on one
 Commissioner, you're not worth your salt. This is not a one man show. You
 understand what I'm saying?

4 MR. HAYET: I understand what you're saying. We will do our best,
5 Commissioner.

6 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** There's no doing your best. You get paid to 7 go and do the job. If your best involves getting your rear end on a plane and using 8 your expense money to come down and do the job, you do your job, you know. My 9 best is getting up and showing up here at the meetings. It's not I'll do my best and 10 maybe I won't come or maybe I won't show up and engage with you. That's not 11 the answer. The answer is you get up and you do it. You made a commitment to 12 the public to take the contract and engage with us and do the job, right? You know, 13 you accept the job, right?

14 **MR. HAYET:** That is correct.

15 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** So you're going to come and you're going to 16 do it, you know. Whether you like it, whether you don't like the flavor of the bottled 17 water in, you know, Metairie, Louisiana. That's your problem but you're going to 18 come down, you're going to sit with me, you're going to sit with Staff attorneys, 19 and we're going to go over it. And it's not just going to be me. We're going to 20 have some real conversations and I want documents to look at in advance of this so 21 we can highlight it, redline it, go through it. But I just don't want to have this show 22 up, and lackadaisical, and kick back, and throw a vote. And oh, Skrmetta's not 23 going to vote for it, we'll get everybody else to vote for it. And you know, we'll

1 just better get that old Republican in the corner and we'll chew him up. That's crap 2 because this is an important thing. And we got rid of this manage program years 3 ago when Clear Result was getting a \$14 million fee and taking that money back to 4 Austin, Texas. And we converted that into the public entities program, which put 5 \$14 million a year back into the public to where the benefit -- citizens of the state 6 of Louisiana through government programs to help reduce the cost of government 7 across the state. And it's been successful, and it doesn't have a manager, and it 8 really didn't have anything to do. And then it was a question of well, maybe those 9 advices aren't working. Well if United Laboratories and the other certification 10 programs of all these devices are not telling the truth, then you got a bigger problem 11 than you ever had before than on other issues, okay? So these are other issues that 12 I want to talk to you about. About how you're going to do these things, or how 13 you're going to certify this, and how you're going to look at performance-based 14 issues. I want to know about this stuff, but I want to know about the minutia, and 15 I want to know about the details, and I just don't want to know are you going to 16 vote for it, okay? So I want to get you there, but I want you to earn your money. 17 And I know you've probably earned your money and you've been taking care of 18 whatever you've got to do. But not showing up and saying well, I could make a 19 phone call, that ain't going to work for me, alright? So I need you to get there, and 20 I need you to talk to Kathryn, and I need y'all to work out to come in. And I don't 21 care if it takes us a day, or two days, or a week, or however long it's going to take 22 to sit in my office and go over this. I will be there until it's done and I know I'm a 23 part-time job Commissioner but I'm going to take a full-time focus on getting

1 through this thing and see where it can work and where it can't work. But if it's 2 going to just do a bigger government and create patronage and do something that's 3 not in the best interest of the citizens of Louisiana, I'm going to make sure 4 everybody understands that. But it's got to work, it's got to make a difference, and 5 it's got to be of value to the public and that's what I want to make sure you come 6 up with. And I just want to make sure that we've put as much money into the field 7 as possible, we have every Commissioner up here retain their authority, and we're 8 not passing it off to a third-party, you don't expose the Commission to issues 9 associated with the legislative auditor, you don't expose the Commission to 10 monetary, you know, risk. I want to make sure all those things are in there. And 11 you know what I don't know? I don't know any of that because you're not engaging 12 with me. You want to be a contractor for the Commission? You better start 13 stepping up with all the Commissioners. You can put me on the top of your list, 14 you can put me on the bottom of your list, but you better come see me before 15 October 1st. So you find your way there and there's an airport ten miles away from 16 my office.

17 **MR. HAYET:** Will do.

18 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** So thank you very much.

19 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** And just to add to that, I know this has been 20 something I've been very passionate about, but I would really love a lot of input 21 from all of the Commissioners because I think that's why we got elected. I mean, 22 the comments that you get from the utilities is because the common voters or 23 ratepayers they're not going to go to these things. We are their representation, we are their voice. And so, I would love input from all of the Commissioners to get
 the most refined answer possible moving forward, so.

3 **MR. HAYET:** We will do our best to reach out to the Commissioners.

4 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** You know, I'd like to help you. That was pretty 5 strong words but it's okay. But I hadn't talked to you either but that's no big deal. But this ought to be what Mr. Skrmetta said, a lot of you guys ought to be here and 6 7 -- because a lot of you don't here. Sometimes, you know, maybe hard to catch up 8 with or whatever, but it ought to be -- we all ought to know what's going on. Just 9 like the last deal with the Chevron people, I never saw them. They didn't explain 10 anything to me. I saw them when I walked into the room. The woman walked up 11 and told me who she was and said hello. You know, I guess you know who's not 12 going to vote for it, or who's not going to be friendly, or whatever, but you still 13 ought to make some kind of motion. You don't have to travel 400 miles up to my 14 house because and back it's more than 500 miles and I know y'all charge everybody 15 for every inch you make. But if you just call or something and try to work out --16 communicate better, everybody.

MR. HAYET: It is our intention to reach out to each and every one of you, whether
it's a call or a visit.

19 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah. Well that's good. And some of us, it's
20 probably my fault that I didn't have the time, or whatever, or didn't take the time,
21 but you should've had the time.

22 MR. HAYET: We did reach out and try to offer to meet with each of you already,

23 but we know we're going to need to do that before the final vote.

- CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. All right. Anybody else have anything to
 say?
- 3 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Let me ask you, Mr. Skrmetta, you want to put
 4 this off for the next meeting?
- 5 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: I'm okay with -- go ahead and give them the
 6 money. I mean at this point, in for a penny, in for a pound. But I want to go start
 7 getting through this and I want to sift with a fine screen.
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: All right.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Is there a motion?

10 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Mr. Chairman, real quick. Kathryn, can you explain
11 to us where we are at the process right now in the draft rule? Just so we know
12 exactly what period we are in this discussion.

13 **MS. BOWMAN:** Sure. So per a directive at the Commission's, I believe, August 14 -- excuse me, April B&E, we put out a draft. It was more of a strawman draft 15 related to a third-party administrator rule. We received comments on that, that's 16 the comments that you referenced earlier that you were not satisfied with. So where 17 Staff is now is we have looked through all those comments. We are trying to put 18 together a more robust rule based on some of the comments, obviously not all of 19 the comments because some of the comments were just anti-third-party 20 administrator. We did look at the legal issues that were raised. So where Staff is 21 right now is we've been going back and forth on a draft trying to put a more robust 22 rule together. Once we think we have that, that will be filed into the record for 23 another public comment period. We will consider that a proposed final rule at that

- 1 point. Comments will be received. Then after those comments, it would be turned
- 2 into a final rule with the goal of having that final rule considered by the Commission
- 3 in December.
- 4 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Gotcha. Thank you. So we're still in the
 5 development draft stage of this rule?
- 6 **MS. BOWMAN:** We are still in the development, yes.
- 7 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Thank you.
- 8 MS. BOWMAN: If that's all you wanted, I could've said that.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Is there a motion?
- 10 **MS. BOWMAN:** There is a motion by Commissioner Greene.
- 11 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** To accept.
- 12 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** I'll second.

13 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. There's a motion by Mr. Greene, second by 14 Mr. Lewis. Any objection? [HEARING NONE] Hearing no objections, accepted. 15 Let me say something. I want to apologize to Mr. Shyne. I said a while ago I 16 couldn't find my deal. The reason I couldn't find it, because we got it at the last 17 minute so I didn't mean to embarrass you. He does a good job but when I'm wrong, 18 I don't mind saying I'm wrong, okay? So I embarrassed you -- I didn't embarrass 19 you but I said as usual, that's not true. He usually does a great job but I didn't have 20 this. So it's my fault, not his fault, so I'm apologizing. I don't want to hear about 21 it on the way home, okay? So it's --

22 MR. JOE SHYNE: You're making me blush, Foster.

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Joe, I think you can get a lunch out of this, is what
 I'm hearing.

3 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** So this is an all-time first when I apologize or we 4 apologize to our aide or whatever we call them, the aides. So I apologize but do 5 not bring it up on the way home. I don't want to hear about it, okay?

6 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: I think he needs a piece of cake, Mr. Chairman, for
7 lunch. Give him some [INAUDIBLE].

8 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Foster, I'm not sure Joe can be embarrassed but I

9 haven't seen it yet.

10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. Thanks a lot. Next issue.

11 **MR. HAYET:** Thank you very much, Commissioners.

12 **MS. BOWMAN:** Thank you, Phil. So Exhibit Number 6 is Docket Number R-13 35423. It's the Commission's rulemaking to study renewable energy tariff options 14 with a focus on bringing new renewable resources into Louisiana. It's a discussion 15 and possible vote on Staff's recommendation. Pursuant to an unopposed directive, 16 this matter was opened to study renewable energy tariff options with a focus on 17 bringing new renewable resources into Louisiana and interventions were received. 18 On August 26, 2022, Staff filed its initial report and provided intervenors an 19 opportunity to comment. Intervenors did file comments but no additional 20 substantive action has been taken in the docket since that time. On August 29, 2023 21 Commission Staff filed its Phase I report in another rulemaking docket, Docket 22 Number R-35462, which was opened to research and evaluate customer centered 23 options for all electric customer classes as well as other regulatory environments.

1 In that Phase I report, Staff indicated that in previous dockets Staff consultants 2 suggested that there be a requirement for all utilities to develop Green Tariff options 3 for the benefit of the customers. And the Phase I report ultimately recommended 4 that in order to avoid duplicate rules on the same issues, the Commission should 5 close Docket Number R-35423, the renewable tariff docket, and consider 6 recommendations regarding Green Tariffs in Docket R-35462, the customer 7 centered options docket, with all intervenors in the Green Tariff docket being 8 accepted into the customer centered options docket upon filing a request. 9 Therefore, Staff recommends that the Commission accepts Staff's recommendation 10 included in the Phase I report filed into the record of Docket Number R-35462 on 11 August 29, 2023, thereby closing this Docket Number R-35423.

12 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Mr. Chairman, I have a motion to accept and I 13 want to make sure a few things are clear before taking action here. First, for the 14 record, when I say Green Tariff, I'm referring to Docket R-35423 and I when I say 15 CCO, I'm referring to Docket R-35462. Given that renewable options such as 16 different forms of PPAs, Green Tariffs, etcetera are options that engaged customers 17 and allow customers to take part in resource procurement, there's an overlap from 18 the Green Tariff docket and the customer centered options docket. It was Staff's 19 recommendation to finish the Green Tariff discussion in the CCO docket, which I 20 agree with, but finishing something doesn't mean neglecting the start of it. This 21 decision was never meant to ignore the resources the Commission and the 22 stakeholders put towards the Green Tariff docket. Though for various reasons the 23 Green Tariff docket has not come to final Commission vote, there was robust discussion, and analysis, and a robust Staff report in that Green Tariff docket. I'm
only comfortable closing this docket if the entire record and the Staff report, the
stakeholder comments, etcetera are included in CCO as we make our final decision.
If closing the Green Tariff docket is an act of rejecting that work, I'm against that
and would prefer Staff structure their final report in such form that it can be voted
on in that docket.

7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Let me ask, what's not included? What -- you said
8 some of it's being withheld?

9 COMMISSIONER GREENE: No, no, it's -- I want everything that has been up
10 to this point to go in. It's okay merging them. It's simplifying and streamlining.
11 But I just don't want any part of what the work that's already been done being left
12 out as it gets transitioned.

- 13 MS. LAUREN EVANS: And, Commissioner Greene, Lauren Evans on behalf of
- 14 Staff. And it is Staff's intention to move the report and all of the comments that
- 15 were filed in response to the report in the Green Tariff docket into CCO.
- 16 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Thank you.
- 17 **MS. EVANS:** So it is not Staff's intention to abandon that work.
- 18 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Okay. Thank you.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Anybody have any other questions?
- 20 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah, I got a question for you.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Greene?
- 22 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Who is that?
- 23 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I'm not -- Mr. --

- 1 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Francis.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Francis.
- 3 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: You in charge of this project? Does this include
- 4 those --
- 5 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I was trying to help you on some age there, brother.
- 6 Mr. Greene.
- 7 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: We had talked about also using some natural gas
- 8 generators at some of these locations. Is this under the same docket? I mean, this
- 9 is a Green Tariff, you know?
- 10 MS. EVANS: Correct. So was that concluded in --
- 11 COMMISSIONER GREENE: I think he's referring to distributor generation; is12 that right?
- 13 **MS. EVANS:** Are you talking about the distributed sun proposal?
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah, I think you got this. Two different
 15 dockets.
- 16 MS. EVANS: Okay. Thank you. Yes, that is included in the CCO docket. It was
- 17 not in the Green Tariff docket, but it was in Staff's report filed in the CCO docket
- 18 and it is open for comment from the stakeholders in that docket.
- 19 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Is that what Commissioner Greene was talking20 about?
- 21 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Yeah, I think this is just a motion to simplify and
- 22 streamline two separate efforts into one.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah, they're very similar in action. And when
 you say Green Tariff, and you got a natural gas generator at a church or a Walmart,
 I don't think that's a Green Tariff anymore, you know? So it is two different and
 that's what you were saying is we got to separate that.

5 COMMISSIONER GREENE: We can address how to handle Green Tariffs
6 within the CCO docket.

7 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Okay. All right. I'm through.

8 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Anybody have any other comments?

9 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** I have a motion to accept so is there a second?

10 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Second.

11 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** There's been a motion and a second. Any 12 objections? Mr. Greene made the motion, Mr. Lewis made the second. Is there 13 any objections? [HEARING NONE] Hearing none, it's passed.

14 **MS. BOWMAN:** Exhibit Number 7 is Docket Number R-36830. This is the 15 Commission's possible amendments to General Order dated January 12, 1976 as it 16 pertains to intrastate insurance filings for motor carriers. It's a discussion and 17 possible vote to amend that General Order relating to the insurance filings for motor 18 carriers. The purpose of this rulemaking is to amend the General Order to allow 19 for initial, renewal, and cancellation insurance filings to be submitted by mail, 20 email, or in the Tyler Insurance Filing system, or any other state approved online 21 filing system. This rulemaking also intends to codify the Commission's current 22 practices regarding formal requirements for insurance filings and the Commission's 23 ability to seek cancellation of motor carrier authority. Notice of the rulemaking

along with the proposed rule was published in the Commission's Official Bulletin
and no parties intervened nor provided comments on the proposed rule. Notice of
Staff's final recommendation was filed into the record on September 7, 2023. Staff
recommends that the Commission accept Staff's final recommendation and amend
and supersede General Order dated January 12, 1976, consistent with Staff's
recommendation filed September 7, 2023.

7 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I got a question for Ms. Burl.

- 8 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Let me ask her what -- so how do you -- this is 9 people getting rid of their insurance or cancelling their insurance. How do you --10 this lets you do it by email or whatever? How they have to do it now?
- 11 **MR. FREY:** I would defer to Tammy on that one.

MS. TAMMY BURL: Good morning, Commissioners. Tammy Burl on behalf
of Commission Staff. So currently --

- 14 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Say a motor carrier is going down the road we
- 15 regulate. They have insurance --
- 16 **MS. BURL:** The ones that we regulate.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: -- and he gets out of business or whatever and he
 18 wants to cancel. How they cancel it now? Or he sells the truck or whatever.

MS. BURL: The underwriter that filed the initial filing while they were registered or during their registration process files what we call a Form K, which is a cancelation notice. And some documents have an expiration date in them depending on the type of insurance. In the past, we did not have a means of electronic filings for insurance and this will definitely benefit the motor carrier when they're changing policies, renewing policies, or canceling policies, that wecan put them all in the electronic filing system if the underwriters choose to do so.

3 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah, that makes sense. Okay.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: All right. Ms. Burl, let me ask you; is this a motor user friendly operation for the business people? Is that -- would you say yes? MS. BURL: It's definitely more user-friendly for both the insurance industry and Commission Staff. It also expedites filings so in part, if a motor carrier cancels their insurance but is illegally operating over the highways of this state, the Commission can get that notice quicker and get that rectified to ensure we don't have motor carriers operating without insurance over our highways.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: You had this coming from the motor carriers or from your office?

13 **MS. BURL:** Actually, the request came from the electronic filing system. They 14 have been expanding to a lot of states. We've been using them since, I believe, 15 2000, if I'm not mistaken. They've been trying to get more insurance underwriters 16 into this electronic filing. We have some that only do it by mail and as you know 17 the mail is not near as reliable as it has been. We've seen a lot of filings that get 18 missed and we don't get them. So they're trying to get more underwriters to file 19 electronically, but we found the benefit not only for the underwriters to file, but for 20 us to make sure that those motor carriers' insurance is continuously on file.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: So it's just an upgrade because of modern technology changes. Okay.

23 **MS. BURL:** Yes, sir.

- 1 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Thank you.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Any further questions? Is there a motion to accept
 3 Staff --
- 4 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Motion to accept.
- 5 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** There is a motion?
- 6 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Yeah, I motion to accept.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I second. There's a motion by Mr. Greene, second
 8 by me, Foster, whatever.
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Chairman.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Chairman. Yeah, there you go. No objections?
 11 [HEARING NONE] No objections. Passed.
- 12 MS. BOWMAN: So the next exhibit is Exhibit Number 8 and this is Docket 13 Numbers U-29203, U-30981, U-32764, U-35991, and U-36350. This is Legacy 14 Entergy Gulf States, Legacy Entergy Louisiana, and then Entergy Louisiana 15 currently, applications for recovery of interim and permanent recovery in rates for 16 costs related to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita; Hurricanes Gustav and Ike; Hurricane Isaac; Hurricanes Laura, Delta, Zeta; Winter Storm Uri; and for Hurricane Ida. It's 17 18 a discussion and possible vote to accept and approve the annual report of the 19 Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation to the Commission for the fiscal year 20 2023 dated August 23, 2023, including the annual operating budget for fiscal year 21 2024, and the interim and annual compiled financial statements for year 2023. As 22 required by Order Numbers U-29203, U-30981, U-32764, U-35991, and U-36350, 23 as well as the Restoration Law now codified as Revised Statutes 45:1311-1343, the

1 Board of Directors of the Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation submitted its 2 fiscal year 2023 annual report to the Commission on August 23, 2023. Overall, they ran their board report on the following topics: Status of and ongoing 3 4 compliance activities related to the associated storms named and their bond 5 securitizations; status of Entergy New Orleans's storm reserve fund securitization; 6 status of Entergy Louisiana's Hurricane Ida securitization; status of reports from 7 and submissions to the Louisiana Legislative Auditor; ongoing activities required to maintain LURC's corporate status; and matters reported to the LURC's board of 8 9 directors during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023. Staff recommends acceptance 10 and approval of the fiscal year 2023 annual report of the LURC to the Commission, 11 including the operating budget for fiscal year ending June 30, 2024, and interim 12 and complied annual financial statements for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023 13 submitted therewith.

14 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Who's handling this for the Staff?

15 **MS. BOWMAN:** Well the Staff, it's -- yeah.

MR. FREY: That's -- it's me. I sit as the Commission's representative on LURC
and then Jamie Watts is our special counsel.

18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So why don't -- tell me exactly what you're doing
19 here.

MR. FREY: Sure, Commissioner. So when LURC was established as the conduit
 issuer --

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There you go with those damn deals again, man.
Come on.

- 1 **MR. FREY:** It's Louisiana Utility Restoration Corporation.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Come on. Come on.
- 3 MR. FREY: It's one of the ways that the utilities can securitize storm debt.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I got it but it sure would -- you know, I must be the
- 5 only one in here that doesn't understand all these acronyms y'all use and y'all use
- 6 them all the time. All the time.
- 7 **MR. FREY:** We're going to blame the legislator for this one. They created it.
- 8 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah. Stop using it. Tell us what it is.
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Can we get Jamie to come up and explain? I'd
- 10 like to -- I want to make a motion to accept it but I'd like her --
- 11 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Just a minute, I want to --
- 12 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: -- in better words, you know?
- 13 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I'm going to recognize you in just a second. Okay.
- 14 Now, Mr. Francis?
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry I got ahead of you --
- 16 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's okay. Go ahead.
- 17 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: -- Mr. Chairman.
- 18 MS. JAMIE WATTS: Commissioner, Jamie Watts on behalf of Louisiana
- 19 Utilities Restoration Corporation. Happy to answer any questions you may have.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: What do y'all do?
- 21 MS. WATTS: The LURC works as the conduit issuer for certain storm
- 22 securitization matters that this Commission approves. So if a utility sustained

- 1 significant damage to their infrastructure resulting from natural disaster, it will seek
- 2 permission from this Commission to recover a certain amount.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's about all of it. I mean what -- certain amount;
- 4 what do you mean?
- 5 MS. WATTS: Well, the Commission conducts a review of the prudency of the
- 6 cost and ultimately the Commission decides how much the utility can recover for
- 7 whatever storm or event --
- 8 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Is LURC a state agency?
- 9 **MS. WATTS:** It is a political-owned, a public instrumentality of the state.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: And who pays for the salaries, etcetera, all these --
- 11 MS. WATTS: There are no employees of the LURC. They hire professional
- 12 service vendors such as Long Law Firm as counsel. And there's accountants --
- 13 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's where you come in?
- 14 **MS. WATTS:** That's correct. Yes, sir.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So they hired y'all --
- 16 **MS. WATTS:** And the costs are payed through the transactions.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: They hired y'all to grade the papers of all the big
 18 companies that come to you and say this is how much we lost and they give it to
 19 you.
- 20 **MS. WATTS:** Actually, sir, the Commission does that. The LURC is just a party 21 to the transactions.
- CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So what do you do? Look over the numbers and seeif it's correct?

MS. WATTS: If for -- we do two things. So we maintain the entity's status as a corporation, so everything that goes along with a normal corporations such as budget, financials, Secretary of State annual reports, reporting to this Commission because this Commission is the regulator of the LURC. And then when there is a specific transaction, the LURC serves as the actual borrower and so we participate in the development of the transaction documents and in that process as well.

7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Your law firm gets paid but not LURC? LURC
8 doesn't pay you, the law firm -- for the services you -- you get paid to grade the
9 papers, right basically?

10 **MS. WATTS:** I get paid to represent the entity. Yes, sir.

11 **MR. FREY:** And, Commissioner, there was a series of similar questions, I guess, 12 what about four or five years ago at one of the LURC meetings, from one of the 13 board members. And I think it was the way it was described, that the entire LURC 14 lives in a box. There are no employees, there's no location. It's this instrument 15 that was created by legislator to perform these functions Jamie's talking about. And 16 we've got -- there's a representative of the House, the Senate, the Treasurer's office, 17 and the City Counsel of New Orleans, as well as myself, and then one at-large 18 member who's actually served, we were joking, I think through three or four 19 Governors now, the same guy has been appointed. But we meet at least once 20 annually to go over these papers as you said and make sure all the financial 21 statements are correct from the utilities. And then we have emergency meetings as 22 necessary if there's some additional issuance that needs to be done.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So basically, you really have -- when utility
 companies give you the bill, they're giving you the bill to be paid on basically?
 Their damages.

4 **MR. FREY:** So -- well, to Jamie's point, the Commission has approved all those 5 numbers so once that number gets approved, whatever the dollar figure is, the bonds 6 are sold, LURC is the conduit issuer and that's so there's certain tax benefits that 7 can flow back to the ratepayers. But then -- yeah. So let's say we say it's a billion 8 dollars, that billion dollars is going to flow through over so many years and that's 9 where the audits are. Making sure the proper amount's being collected, the proper 10 amount's being remitted. And that's why, if you notice, this goes all the way back 11 to Katrina, Rita. So you still have some money flowing back to ratepayers as 12 benefits from that storm and that's one of the functions LURC provides as well. 13 Our different experts that are performing that work for us.

14 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Foster, can I call up Emory Belton? Cause I
15 know he's involved in the statutory element of this. Is Emory here? Yeah. Could
16 you come up just to, you know, throw your two cents in for us?

17 MS. WATTS: And, Commissioner, if I --

18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Are you -- Go ahead. Go ahead.

MS. WATTS: If I may, what's on the agenda and before this Commission today is acceptance and approval of an annual compliance report. This only reports on the activities of the entity in general as a corporation and specifically with respect to the different transactions that either this Commission, or with respect to the Entergy New Orleans transaction, the City Council has approved. This is not a new

- transaction. This is not asking for any money. This is simply reporting to the
 Commission as our regulator on the activities that the LURC entity has
 accomplished over the last year.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I got you. That's what he was talking about, once a
 5 year?
- 6 **MR. FREY:** Yes, correct.
- 7 MS. BOWMAN: Yes, sir. You can think of it kind of like a compliance filing.
 8 Yes, sir.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Do what?
- MS. BOWMAN: It's kind of like a compliance filing. It's them complying with
 the order.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I got it. I'm with you. Go ahead, Mr. Belton.
- 13 MR. EMORY BELTON: Good morning, Commissioner and chairman members.
- 14 Yeah, I'm probably one of the --
- 15 **MS. WATTS:** Say your name.

16 **MR. BELTON:** Oh, Emory Belton. I'm here representing I'm not sure who. I 17 guess history. History, I'm a history professor. There are a few of us grey hairs in 18 the room I guess here around when this law was passed, not too many of us are left. 19 As was mentioned, this law was passed in the wake of Hurricane Katrina to provide 20 a lower cost mechanism to fund storm recovery. Prior to the creation of this 21 mechanism, the way storm recoveries are typically gotten back by the utilities is 22 they pay for the restoration, the Commission looks at the expenditures, determines 23 whether they are prudent in the amount. For instance, if the utility spent, you know,

1 1.5 billion, the Commission may look at it and say well, you know, you really only 2 should've spent 1.2. So they're allowed to recover through rates over the course of 3 30 years the \$1.2 billion. Now of course that 30 year recovery comes with it their 4 approved return on equity, which you know is typically in the range of 10 percent. 5 Okay. So when you add it all up over the cost of those 30 years, you're looking at 6 some very significant interest payments on the recovery of this money. So what 7 the LURC did in order to mitigate rate shock -- or what this securitization did in order to mitigate rate shock was some very smart tax lawyers, a lot smarter than 8 9 me, came up with a mechanism that if you could interpose a quasi-public entity, the 10 Louisiana Utility Regulatory Commission, to in effect go into the public bond 11 markets and borrow money and then use that money to reimburse the utility 12 companies for what they had spent, then the product of that would be the savings 13 to the utility customers of the 30 years' worth of interest rates that they would've 14 otherwise been legally obligated to pay. In the case of Katrina and of every storm 15 since then, but particularly in the case of Katrina, the savings to Entergy customers, 16 for instance, was \$500 million over which they would've otherwise had to pay.

17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Over the years. Yeah.

MR. BELTON: Yes, correct. So now the yin and the yang of it, I guess, is that, you know, you may ask yourself well, why would Entergy want to give up that 30 years' worth of income? Well because under the LURC process, Entergy gets reimbursed immediately. They get the money back immediately. Okay?

22 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** That's when they got a \$5 billion check.

1 **MR. BELTON:** Right. But you're really not giving them anything as much as 2 you're giving them the money to build back their facilities that were destroyed 3 anyway. So on a net basis they're not really coming out ahead. They are coming 4 out ahead in not having to wait for the money. The customers come out ahead 5 because the Commission is able to use this quasi-public entity to handle, I guess, 6 IRS rules that would've otherwise come into play. So, you know, that's the pro 7 and the con of that. You've heard a lot of terms here today, you know. For what 8 it's worth -- and, Commissioner Campbell, you'll probably -- may appreciate this. 9 The legislation to create this LURC was 50 pages long. When they handed us that 10 bill and asked us to pass it they said here's a 50 page bill --11 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Don't amend it.

- 12 MR. BELTON: -- we're not accepting any amendments.
- 13 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Well that's wonderful.
- 14 MR. BELTON: So anyway -- but I think --
- 15 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Who wrote the bill?
- 16 MR. BELTON: It was primarily drafted by tax counsel by the utility companies
- 17 in concert and bond counsel too, because I mean, you know, it's --
- 18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So you got a 50 page bill, they said that we're not
- 19 accepting any amendments.
- 20 MR. BELTON: Correct. Right.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: And we've been there before.
- 22 MR. BELTON: And we got it passed. And I think at the end of the day even --
- and we had input from, not only from the Commissioners but industrial customers

1 and other people who, you know, we're going to be impacted by this. And they 2 had questions and they had concerns and all that stuff was addressed. And 3 ultimately at the end of the day, you know, everybody reached an understanding 4 that for utility customers, cost-wise, this was the cheapest way to go, you know. 5 The draw back I guess, and this is more public perception than anything, with the 6 LURC securitization process is that, you know, it requires a line item on the bill, 7 you know, for each storm. Well, customers see that and they automatically think 8 that they're being charged even more. Well, the problem is they're not, they're 9 charged less, but you can tell them that until you're blue in the face and they're not 10 going to believe it. That was, you know, the subject of a lot of discussions that we 11 had in front of the legislator, and we were talking about that because the legislator 12 itself was very sensitive to the fact that they would be viewed as having a hand in 13 putting extra charges on people's utility bills. And it took some education and some 14 convincing from us to tell them well yes, you know, I guess the uninformed public 15 could make that determination. However, you have the comfort knowing that 16 you're going to be saving people \$500 million. So it's kind of an unusual process, 17 you know. And unless you kind of go back in time and kind of look at the whole 18 picture, you know, of how it all kind of evolved and unfolded, it can seem a little 19 cumbersome and confusing. But it's proven itself out, at least in my belief. And 20 not -- look, I don't do this stuff, this LURC stuff and bond stuff, but it has proven 21 to be a tremendous savings for utility customers in this state. There is no question 22 about that.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I might tell you something to make you feel a bit - well, it reinforces what you just said. One time I was in the Senate, it was toward
 the end of the session. We passed 110 bills one day. Blah, blah, move, blah, blah,
 blah. Some of them were 50 pages thick.

5 **MR. BELTON:** The Bagneris Rules.

6 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So sometimes I ask pointed questions. So the 7 president was clapping, thank y'all for this hard work, blah, blah, blah, we did a 8 great job. You can have personal privilege, you know, so I said, Mr. President, I'd 9 like to ask a question. What is it, Mr. Campbell? I said, could any of you 39 in 10 here raise your hand that knows what the hell you did today. Nobody. Passed 100 11 bills in one day. Some of them were 50 to 75 pages. There's no way in the world 12 you can know what those bills do when you pass them every three minutes, you 13 know? And just like this, to give you a 50 page bill, that's what it remind me of, 14 tell them you're not taking any amendments. I don't care who you are, how smart 15 you are, no amendments. You know it looks like it's a little home cooking, you 16 know. Maybe -- what you're saying the bottom line is the good is a lot better than 17 not having it, but it's complicated how it got there.

MR. BELTON: Absolutely. And it was modeled off of what Florida did after Hurricane Andrew. They were the first ones who kind of came up with this securitization notion because of the widespread devastation. And it fit very well in with Katrina and since then.

MS. WATTS: And there are different types of securitization that are used for
storms and for other costs. This is a very specific securitization method that the

- 1 LURC is able to participate in and it has historically been used for the most
- 2 significant costs caused by storms.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Was this the first time we used this -- was the first
- 4 time was with Katrina?
- 5 MR. BELTON: Yes, sir.
- 6 MS. WATTS: That's correct. It was --
- 7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Had it been used in other states or who came up with
 8 the idea to do this?
- 9 MR. BELTON: Florida.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Florida?
- MR. BELTON: It'd been used in Florida after Hurricane Andrew, which was back
 in the '90s.

13 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Yeah, I didn't know that but I do now. Everybody 14 was -- it made sense because, you know, you were selling bonds at that time interest 15 rates were not very high and it was a good time to sell them. I don't know what 16 bonds are yielding today, but I mean back then, CDs were one percent and you 17 could sell a bond, I don't know, for three percent. It was a good -- people were 18 dying to get them. I mean they bought them --

MR. BELTON: Well and it's lower interest rate bonds. I mean, these deals have to go before the Bond Commission for approval also, so they get access to those low interest, you know, government conduit bonds. And that again was a place that we, you know, we --

- CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: They're both tax exempt, state and federal too, I
 think.
- 3 MS. WATTS: That's correct, Commissioner. And --
- 4 MR. BELTON: Yes, sir.
- 5 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's why everybody was so wild about getting
- 6 them. Plus, they were -- at that time a three percent bond was a hell of a lot better
- 7 than zero on CDs, so. And you knew you were going to get your money back.
- 8 MS. WATTS: Right. And for the last three transactions, two of which closed in
- 9 2022, one of which this year, the interest rates were high four or low fives.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Five, tax-free now?
- 11 MS. WATTS: Correct, yes.

12 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So that means that you're in a tax bracket like you 13 are, if you buy five percent bonds, you probably going to get about seven or eight 14 percent, which is a great deal knowing damn well you're going to get your money. 15 AAA bonds too.

16 **MR. BELTON:** Well -- and that's again where the Commission's authority comes 17 into play. I mean based upon the absolute power this Commission has 18 constitutionally in terms of the orders that it issues to utility companies to exercise 19 this. I mean, those are some of the most financeable bonds in the world. They can 20 borrow against them. I mean it's almost like a Treasury bill. I mean they're rock 21 solid so, you know, they're a good deal. And again, I mean, we received lots of 22 questions. I mean, not only from legislators, but from the administration, from the 23 Bond Commission because ultimately, we had to go before that. And, you know,

- it took a lot of educating before people really understood it because it's not a very
 simple thing to really get your mind around from the get go, you know. But once
 you really kind of dig into it, understand utility ratemaking, and bonding issues,
 and finance --
- 5 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: A new way of financing.
- 6 **MR. BELTON:** Sir?
- 7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: It's a new financing method.
- 8 MR. BELTON: Yes, sir.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So you don't blame people.
- 10 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Those five people have a lot of authority to help11 the public, right?
- 12 MR. BELTON: Absolutely, you know. Absolutely. I mean like I said, I mean,
- 13 with the decision of the Commission to adopt the LURC's recommendation that
- 14 resulted an immediate \$500 million savings for utility ratepayers in Louisiana.
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Commissioners probably got more authority to
- 16 help the public than the Secretary of State; wouldn't you agree? Probably do, right?
- 17 **MR. BELTON:** I'm not going to go into that.

18 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Emory, Jamie, or Brandon, a quick question for you;

19 what is the process that LURC uses when utilities may be approaching any of their

- 20 financial limits on storm recovery costs paid through securitization or when they
- 21 have an option to access financing through lower terms? Is that considered at all?

22 MS. WATTS: So the LURC has no authority that this Commission does not grant

to it. So ultimately, this Commission sets those parameters and requirements. The

LURC is essentially along for the ride to facilitate whatever this Commission green
 lights.

3 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** It -- Brandon, I'm sorry.

MR. FREY: No, I was just going to agree with that. So all of those questions are going to be with our financial consultants and our securitization consultants at the Commission level. That's all going to be in the terms of the order itself. So Jamie's point, whatever the Commission puts in the order, that's the parameters LURC deals with.

9 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** And maybe this is more high level but I'm just 10 curious as how long do we think this tool can work in its existence as planned before 11 we may need to start considering alternatives?

MR. FREY: Yeah. I guess I'll take a shot at that since it's -- as Jamie said there's other types of securitization, so you've got the LURC is a very specific set. I mean just last session, as you're aware, there was a modification to some securitization law which I don't think goes through LURC, so it's being reassessed. I do know there were some issues we had this past year on the financing side, where for a particular utility if the amount that's securitized gets within a certain percentage of the total revenues, it raises flags for the bond community.

19 **MS. WATTS:** Total amount of the customer bills, a certain percentage of that.

MR. FREY: Correct. So like, let's say it's ten percent of the bills are on securitization charges and I don't know if -- I'm just throwing that number out there but I think it's around there, the bonds are no longer as attractive to the financial community. So the market itself may put certain restrictions on there where this just won't work when you get over that level or it will raise the price of the bonds where it may not be as attractive over the alternative. So I think that would be --I'm not a financial advisor, but based on what a lot of those conversations we had with both the Treasurer's office and our bond council and, you know, during that time frame, that's one of the concerns I heard on what could ultimately end this as a possibility in the future.

MS. WATTS: And that relates to ratings for the transaction. Rating agencies
evaluate the transactions and they have to, per statute and per this Commission's
financing order, they have to be AAA rated and so those are considerations that are
taken into account when those rating decisions are made.

11 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Is there any further questions? [HEARING NONE]

12 No further questions. Thank you very much. There's a motion to accept?

13 **MS. BOWMAN:** There is. There's a motion by Vice Chairman Francis.

14 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Second.

15 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Hearing no objections. Any objections?
16 [HEARING NONE] Hearing no objections, it's passed. Let's go ahead.

17 **MS. WATTS:** Thank you.

MS. BOWMAN: Exhibit Number 9 is Docket Number U-36133. This is Dixie Electric Membership Corporation, NextEra Energy Marketing, and Amite Solar's joint application for approval of power supply agreements. It's a discussion and possible vote on a budget increase for Stone Pigman and United Professionals Company due to a scope expansion. Order Number U-36133 was issued November 11, 2022, certifying DEMCO's power supply agreements including a solar power

1 purchase agreement with Amite Solar. UPC and Stone Pigman were retained via RFPs to assist in this docket. On August 22nd of this year, DEMCO and Amite 2 3 Solar filed a motion for approval of a second amendment to Amite Solar's power 4 purchase agreement wherein the parties were requesting the Commission approve 5 an amendment to the solar PPA based on price increases and limited availability of 6 certain materials, which they indicate are needed to complete the construction of 7 the project. The parties' request to amend the solar PPA was not contemplated 8 when UPC and Stone Pigman were initially retained by the Commission in April 9 of 2022 and February of 2020 -- excuse me, April of 2020 and February '22, 10 respectively. As a result of the parties' filings, Staff solicited Stone Pigman and 11 UPC for additional budget to cover the review and analysis of the request. Stone 12 Pigman's response to that solicitation was \$46,500 in fees and no additional 13 expenses and UPC's response was \$25,000 in fees and 500 in expenses for a total 14 budget not to exceed of 25,500. Staff recommends that the Commission approve 15 the budget increases for Stone Pigman and for UPC due to the scope expansion in 16 Docket Number U-36133.

17 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move to accept Staff recommendation.

18 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Second.

19 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's a motion, a second by Mr. Lewis, motion
20 by Mr. Skrmetta that we accept Staff recommendation. Hearing no objections?
21 [NONE HEARD] Passed the motion.

MS. BOWMAN: Exhibit Number 10 is Docket Number U-36169. It's
SWEPCO's application for authorization to install advanced meters in accordance

1 with the Commission's General Order dated September 22, 2009. It's a discussion 2 and possible vote pursuant to Rule 57 on a stipulated settlement and it's at the 3 request of Chairman Campbell. On October 4, 2021, SWEPCO filed its application 4 seeking approval of a deployment of advance meter systems in accordance with the 5 Commission's General Order. The application included a Phase II for expedited 6 consideration to deploy initial meters, and then a Phase II request for full 7 deployment of AMS meters. Notice of the application was published in the 8 Commission's Bulletin and the Alliance for Affordable Energy intervened. On 9 November 17, 2021, the Commission approved SWEPCO's Phase I request to 10 begin deployment of meters of up to 12,000. And then on April 22, 2022, SWEPCO 11 filed testimony and exhibits in support of its Phase II application seeking full 12 deployment of its advance meter system meters. Following discovery and the filing 13 of direct testimony, Staff and SWEPCO reached an agreement and executed a 14 stipulated settlement, which was filed into the record on August 24, 2023. The 15 Alliance does not object to the settlement. The settlement recommends that the 16 Commission find SWEPCO's application to be in compliance with the Commission's AMS General Order, subject to terms of the settlement, which does 17 18 include: That SWEPCO's request for approval to recover its estimated reasonable 19 and necessary costs incurred in deployment through a surcharge tariff of 20 approximately 67.985 million over eight years and that SWEPCO will allow 21 customers to access their data directly from the SWEPCO platform and share their 22 AMS data. Staff recommends that the Commission: 1) Exercise its original and 23 primary jurisdiction pursuant to Rule 57; and 2) Accept the uncontested stipulated

- 1 settlement filed into the record on August 24, 2023. And we do have a yellow card
- 2 for a person that wishes to speak on this matter.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move to bring the matter up under Rule 57.
- 4 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Second.
- 5 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: It's a motion by Mr. Skrmetta and a second
- 6 by Mr. Francis to bring it up under Rule 57. Mr. Lewis, you have a question?
- 7 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Yes. Is there someone from SWEPCO present?
- 8 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** And I also bring it up to move to approve the
- 9 staff recommendation. Just to put it on the floor.
- 10 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** There's a motion -- let him get through talking.
- 11 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Sure. Technically just put a motion on the
 12 floor.
- 13 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay.
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I understand Bobby Gilliam's got the COVID?
- 15 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yeah, Bobby's got COVID.
- MS. BOWMAN: Guys, please introduce y'all's selves for the record. Jon, the
 clipboard; Tom, yellow card.
- 18 MR. JONATHAN MCCARTNEY: Jonathan McCartney.
- 19 MR. TOM BRICE: Tom Brice.
- 20 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Thank you. And I'm not opposed, there's just some
- 21 questions that I want to ask for clarification. The stipulation only requires you to
- study these within 12 months and not necessarily commit any further action, so I'm
- 23 just curious if the study finds that this program is worthwhile, what is your process

or your thoughts on expediating action to best use the advanced metering systems
 approved in this decision?

MR. BRICE: Yes, sir. So the company -- good morning, Commissioner Lewis.
Thank you for your question. The company will install these meters out of the
need. It's the technology that we've selected to provide this service going forward.
Our prior technology is outdated and obsolete, so with the Commission's approval,
we'll proceed with the deployment of these meters.

8 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Thank you. And the only other question I have is 9 about the engagement of your customers. I know that the settlement -- the 10 stipulation, excuse me, refers to just a minimum of two public meetings and review 11 of engagement materials. Are there anything you are planning to do or 12 conversations that would go beyond that commitment to ensure that customers are 13 informed and engaged in this process?

MR. BRICE: Thank you for that question, Commissioner. So that's the plan for communicating with the customers, a plan that was reviewed by Staff or will be reviewed by Staff, and to the extent they see modifications needed, they would propose those.

18 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Great. Thank you.

MR. MCCARTNEY: And Commissioner, there are also -- there will also be those flyers that will go out to customers to notify them through the mail and, you know, proposed flyers in the different languages, all that's required by that customer engagement plan, so customers will be notified of it, and also would have access to that notification on the website when they go to pay their bills. So there are multiple

- 1 avenues for outreach to customers to notify them of the program and the benefits
- 2 of AMS for customers.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Perfect. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Is there -- Mr. --
- 5 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Do they have the option to opt it out on smart
- 6 meters?
- 7 MR. MCCARTNEY: Yes, Commissioner. There is still an option to opt out for
 8 those customers that choose that.
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Then we charge them extra for that, huh?
- 10 **MR. BRICE:** Yes, sir. There is a nominal fee to opt out.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Okay.
- 12 MR. BRICE: We don't expect that percentage of customers to be significant.
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: About half a percent?
- 14 **MR. BRICE:** If that; more than likely less than that.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: What about the 276 thereafter? How long is
- 16 thereafter? Sounds like a long time.
- 17 MR. BRICE: So, Chairman, are you referring to the surcharge?
- 18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah. It's 326 for the first 48. I'm for it because I
- 19 saw what these things can do. We've had a good bit of outages up there and it's
- 20 something where you can tell you when your lights are coming on, what to expect.
- 21 It even tells you about what's your -- when you're using too much electricity, this,
- that and the other; real savings for people that use it. But is this going to be 276 or
- what, forever?

MR. BRICE: So there's -- the charge is just north of \$3 for residential the first
 four years, and just below \$3 for the next four years, and then that will go away.

3 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Oh, that's fine, then. I got you. I'm all for it because 4 I don't know if y'all saw this, but they were showing me, you can put your house 5 on this deal and you can see where your electricity is and you can see at 5:00 when 6 you come home, you got a bunch of people over, it goes up. I mean, it sort of tells 7 you, hey, these parties we're having is costing a hell of a lot, we're cooling this 8 whole house. I mean, you know -- I mean, you make the decision. I saw -- it's 9 remarkable when they can -- and then it'll help us a lot when people say I can't 10 believe my bill was that high. I said, hold on, let's look, and it'll show you, hey, 11 what y'all been doing on the weekend? Well, we had a few people over. Yeah, 12 you sure did. This is where your bill's going up. You know, and people are going 13 to say, well, thank you for telling me this, but they'll be able to use that on their 14 own phone. Brandon was showing me that yesterday. He was telling me that he 15 had some people over the weekend and his bill went up.

SECRETARY FREY: It was me. That's true, we had too many people at the
house, we were cooking, so the usage went up.

18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Well, I, you know, that's -- I think people will love
19 this because they're always asking, I can't believe I'm using that much electricity.
20 Can we get you guys out to check it? And sometimes it's wrong, but a lot of times
21 it's right. But if you can show people this is where your electricity is going up, it's
22 got to be a good tool.

23 **MR. BRICE:** Yes, sir.

- 1 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** So I make a motion we accept this.
- 2 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: And a second by Mr. Skrmetta. And --
- 4 SECRETARY FREY: We do have a --
- 5 MS. BOWMAN: We do have a one yellow card for a constituent, Ms. Erin
- 6 Hansen, if she'd like to come up and speak.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. Who is that? Come on up. You against or8 for it?
- 9 MS. ERIN HANSEN: For it.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: For it? Well, hallelujah.

11 **MS. HANSEN:** My name is Erin Hansen with Together Louisiana. On the 12 morning of June 16th, very early in the morning a storm came through Shreveport 13 and about 200,000 people lost power.

- 14 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Right.
- 15 **MS. HANSEN:** And -- say again?
- 16 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: 250.

MS. HANSEN: Two-fifty, a quarter of a million people. So on Sunday night, June 18th, we texted about 125,000 people to ask them how they were doing. We asked them to tell us on a scale of one to five what's your situation. One is I'm fine, five is I'm in crisis. And I woke up on Monday morning and 4,000 people had responded to the text and told us how they were and of those 4,000, about 850 people were in crisis. And so our sister organization in Shreveport, North Louisiana Interfaith, activated and immediately started reaching back out to those people to

1 check in on them. And one thing that we encountered is, you know, we needed to 2 make deliveries to people who were out of food and water, who needed ice for their 3 ice chests and we had no way of pulling up a map to see which neighborhoods are 4 out. And that was because, we realized, SWEPCO territory didn't have smart 5 meters. So we are really excited about the implementation of smart meters in Caddo 6 and in Bossier. We look forward to an outage map that can tell us in real time 7 which neighborhoods are on, which houses are on, and in the future, looking 8 towards being able to take advantage of being able to modify your electricity usage 9 to take advantage of time-use rates, demand response, and things like that. So fully 10 support you. I want to commend you, Commissioner Campbell, for getting this 11 done, and also to SWEPCO, you know, to Brett Mattison and Malcolm Smoak, who 12 really pushed for this. So we are in support and we commend the effort. Thank 13 you.

14 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you very much.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Ma'am, let me ask you -- ma'am. Let me ask
you a question. Do you know that there are some people that opt out and don't
want smart meters?

18 MS. HANSEN: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: So if they have a problem, they won't be able to
get your help because you won't be able to find them and know where they're at,
right?

MS. HANSEN: As long as we can text them and they can tell us, you know, wecan get in touch with them that way, then they can still, on an individual basis, kind

of communicate with us and have access to the services that are provided through
 Community Lighthouse and other programs they know about. We wouldn't, you
 know, if they opted out of the program, we wouldn't be able to know if their power
 was still out unless they told us.

5 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Thank you.

6 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** And Erin, I want to thank you and Together 7 Louisiana for the work. I know you've also showed some of that same data when we have storms here in Baton Rouge and I think it's important for us as a 8 9 Commission to look at the humanistic aspects of what happens post an outage. 10 What is that life like because when I think when we talk about demand response, 11 we talk about community solar, we talk about community lighthouses, we can get 12 very much in the policy debate, but I think what the work that you do is showcases 13 the humanistic side of it and how that is life or death really for people, so I wanted 14 to just commend Together for that work and appreciate that data. And also, I'll 15 borrow something from the Chairman. I assumed the yellow card earlier was 16 Bobby, I didn't realize he wasn't here, so I apologize for letting SWEPCO go before 17 you because I would have let you talk first, but I thought it was Bobby, so I was 18 going to give him a little bit of a hard time to wait, so apologies for that.

19 **MS. HANSEN:** All good. All good.

20 COMMISSIONER GREENE: Well done. The technology used to do that, is21 that not something that utilities can do as well?

MR. MCCARTNEY: Well, Commissioner, that's what this program is to deploy
the AMS for SWEPCO, for its Louisiana service territory, to allow that access --

for customers to have access to that data and to be able to help respond to these
 situations.

3 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Because routinely I hear from ratepayers that say 4 they call, they talked to somebody in Idaho who says, no, you do have power. And 5 you say, well, why am I calling you if I have power? And yet this private company 6 can text hundreds of thousands of people and get responses back. It seems like a 7 Fortune 200 or 500 company would be able to implement that technology as well. MR. MCCARTNEY: Well, and Commissioner, that's why we have a settlement 8 9 with the Staff to deploy AMS, to be able to help improve service and response time 10 and also to help improve customer access to their data and usage.

COMMISSIONER GREENE: This, to me, is a huge move towards putting the
 customer in the center of it all, so thank you.

13 **MR. MCCARTNEY:** That's correct.

14 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Thank you.

15 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Mr. Chairman.

16 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: You know, one thing I need to say, though. They've

17 got a great Commissioner up in Shreveport. The best one. Well, maybe not.

18 Probably just [INAUDIBLE].

19 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** He's the best one in Shreveport.

20 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** He's the best one in Shreveport, right.

21 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I work hard as hell trying to get people's electricity

22 back on. People call me at 2:00 in the night and I'm nice to them. I say, I know

23 it's 2:00, sure nice of you to call. But anyway, we work together and I know the

1 President, poor Malcolm, when he was here. I'm going to tell you this story. I 2 might have said this one time. Malcolm, we were 2:00 in the morning or 3:00, a 3 man called me and he said, Mr. Campbell, it's 3:00. I said, yeah, I know. He said 4 I have no electricity. I said, what can I do? He said, my wife -- I might have told 5 you this story, it's true. My wife is a paraplegic and she's crying right now and she 6 can't get to sleep. So I called Malcolm at 3:00, said, Malcolm, you got to do 7 something. That man called me back at 5:00. He said, Mr. Campbell, it's 5:00. I 8 said, well, how you doing? He said, I just want to thank you; I gave my wife a bath 9 and she's sleeping like a baby. I mean, that -- I don't mind calling the president at 10 3:00. That's their job and that's my job. But sometimes you get these calls and people are in terrible situations. And that guy was so nice, he called me back at 11 12 5:00 and told me his wife was sleeping because he was able to give her a bath and, 13 you know, so SWEPCO is okay. I give them hell when they need it, but I do call 14 on them and we try to answer all the calls, but it's -- we had 250,000 people out. 15 The news that night said we have possible thunderstorms at 10:00. The next 16 morning, you wake up and it was 250,000 people out of electricity. Now, that's 17 just from wind and we never had that happen before. But anyway, that was just a 18 story. What the hell, you know. Yeah? You got any good stories?

COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: No. Well, I do have one good for you and I
thought about you because you know how Amazon delivers late sometimes at
night?

22 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: And I have two dogs, I have two rottweilers
 and they're girls and they're sweet and they love everybody.

3 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: And they'll bite, huh?

4 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** No, they don't bite. Well, they don't bite 5 people, but I went to the front door and I didn't have the lights on and I opened the 6 door and there must have been a 30-pound racoon in Metairie sitting on my front 7 porch and the dogs took off, rolled it right down to the storm drain. That racoon 8 went down the storm drain and the dogs went about 60 miles an hour because they 9 don't usually run that fast, but I always think about you and your racoon stories and 10 I said, well, I think I'll have to mention that to Foster next time I see him and he 11 sees two 90 pound rottweilers rolling down Sena Drive. So -- but, no, what I was 12 saying is, and we talked about this with the Staff before, you know, and modern 13 mapping technology is when we once made a directive about the companies 14 interacting with a common file marker to where the state could have its own 15 mapping system to show outages on a statewide basis and this is something that 16 we've done maybe 10 years ago, but maybe the technology wasn't really widely 17 available. But since we have all of these companies now having outage maps, and 18 even with the movement towards the -- and it might be super beneficial to the co-19 ops that are now grouping together to having common activity between multiple 20 co-ops, to have the outage maps unified to where common reporting electronics, to 21 where the Commission can then have it as well on its website, to where they have 22 their own and we also have it on the state level. And I think we discussed this some 23 time ago, Brandon, and we also had a mechanism to where we could get all the

utilities to provide the information and we had a mechanism, I think, to require a small fee for the utilities to organize to pay for our system [INAUDIBLE] and that way we'd have a state system, particularly valuable during hurricane season, but also just a general information for people outside the state to be able to monitor their relatives and such.

6 **SECRETARY FREY:** So -- and I'd have to look at the specifics of exactly where 7 we are, but I know there were upgrades to our mapping system. And to your point, 8 our map can only go as far as the interconnection with the utilities and I know not 9 all of them have the technology to put in that real-time data. And part of it is tied 10 to advanced meters and the ability to drill down to the areas. But there were 11 definitely upgrades made to the mapping system post-Ida and I've joked when I've 12 been at GOHSEP that we haven't been able to show them, and knock on wood, I 13 hope I don't get to show them again any time soon. But we are, over time, making 14 that system better and better and better, and that is still the ultimate goal, is to have 15 that full inter-connectivity between the utilities where they can input their data 16 directly into the database, which will then put out that map that shows real-time 17 outage data.

18 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yeah. Because I think that's an important 19 thing for not just the companies and even the co-ops, I mean, look at them all 20 utilizes, but I think it's important for the Commission to have that interactivity 21 between the electronic information and them. Because if nothing else, I've found 22 that, you know, especially during hurricanes, some of the inquiries are two-fold. 23 It's people, they monitor the maps, obviously, to see when the power goes back on, but we also have people who are relatives of people wanting to know is the power back on. So I think that we provide another layer of support for folks that are in the state and also outside the state to be able to monitor what's going on in the state and I think it would be just another, you know, a tool in the toolbox for us to have. **SECRETARY FREY:** Look, when we're at GOHSEP, that's the thing people are looking at the most is that map that we produce that shows what percentage is out in parishes and --

8 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** I think we need to go down to the granular 9 level, and I hate to say granular, so -- that's one of my least favorite words in the 10 universe, but I think we need to be able to look at it, and I don't want to say like the 11 Entergy map, but almost like the Entergy map.

12 SECRETARY FREY: Correct.

13 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Because their map's not working all the time 14 properly. But I do think that we need to focus on something of that sort and maybe, 15 I don't want to say standardize, but I want to say it as an example for other people 16 to follow to where -- but the actual computer code that -- and I'm going to say it in 17 a generic form, the computer code that's used to identify the each individual meter, 18 be standardized throughout the state to where each company uses the same 19 computer code to where it can be unified and shared with GOHSEP and the 20 Commission so we could all have the same thing.

SECRETARY FREY: And I'll close, I agree on that point. There are so many things at GOHSEP and at the state level, even at the federal level that are tied to outages, so whether it's getting the hospitals or the shelters up and running as

- 1 priorities. But also, a lot of the disaster recovery benefits are tied to the duration of
- 2 outages, so it's important to track all of that for lots of purposes, so that's our goal.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Well, I think we can do it. I just think we just
- 4 have to, you know, let's just say lean into it. Okay?
- 5 **MS. HANSEN:** Commissioner Skrmetta?
- 6 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Yep.
- 7 MS. HANSEN: May I just express my support for a statewide outage map. You
 8 know, Together Louisiana is --
- 9 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: I'm shocked.

10 **MS. HANSEN:** It's very helpful in the few days after the storm before the entire 11 state apparatus comes online for organizations in the community to see, and a lot 12 of the service territories cut right through some of our networks, and so being able 13 to see that is extremely helpful.

14 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** No, I appreciate that. I mean, look, it helps 15 everybody and it certainly helps, you know, community service organizations, it 16 helps responders, it helps Fire, EMS. It helps everybody across the board because, 17 you know, information is key, and that's what we need to do is make sure that we 18 have more information that we share and make sure everybody can use it to their 19 advantage.

SECRETARY FREY: There is one point I do have to make, and when you said that a statewide map, it made me think of it. And I know the Governor and I and the head of GOHSEP had these conversations. Any map that we produce, we do have to be cognizant of the fact that it's only going to be for the people we regulate,

- 1 so it won't be a true state-wide. It won't capture Entergy New Orleans and it won't
- 2 capture any of the municipal-run systems.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** If they choose not to be regulated, that's their
- 4 problem.
- 5 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** But we could pass a -- we could ask the legislature
- 6 to [INAUDIBLE].
- 7 SECRETARY FREY: We could certainly ask the legislature to put that --
- 8 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Well, if the people of New Orleans would like
- 9 to have a vote, they can ask their City Council to put it on the ballot.
- MS. BOWMAN: So we have a motion and a second. Hearing no objections, I
 think we're good. Chairman?
- 12 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: It's passed.
- 13 MS. BOWMAN: Okay.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: No objections? [NONE HEARD] No objection.

15 **MS. BOWMAN:** Exhibit Number 11 is Docket Number U-36174. This is 16 SWEPCO's application for recovery of certain storm damage costs incurred as a 17 result of Hurricane Laura and Delta and the February 2021 Winter Storm Event. 18 This is a discussion and possible vote on a budget increase for Stone Pigman and 19 United Professionals Company due to a scope expansion. The Commission issued 20 Order Number U-36174, which authorized SWEPCO to recover distribution and 21 transmission O&M costs, as well as required SWEPCO to apply to the Commission 22 for a Phase II recovery. On July 31, 2023, SWEPCO filed its Phase II filing, and it 23 included an additional request to recover storm restoration costs associated with the

1 June 2023 storms. SWEPCO indicated it included these costs in its Phase II filing 2 as it was the most efficient and cost-effective path for recovery of those 2023 3 storms. SWEPCO also proposes to establish a storm reserve of \$150 million that 4 the company could draw down on once the June 2023 storm costs are reviewed and 5 approved. SWEPCO's request to recover these storm costs and a storm reserve 6 account were not contemplated when Stone Pigman and UPC were retained at the 7 Commission's December 2021 B&E. Further, at the August B&E, Chairman 8 Campbell requested Staff to examine SWEPCO's SAIDI and SAIFI numbers in 9 this docket and to compare the same with the national average. As a result of this 10 scope expansion, Staff solicited Stone Pigman and UPC for additional budget. 11 Stone Pigman responded with 125,000 in fees and no additional expenses; and UPC 12 responded with 82,500 in fees and 2,500 in expenses for a total budget not to exceed 13 of \$85,000. Staff recommends that the Commission approve the budget increase 14 of Stone Pigman and UPC due to the scope expansion in Docket Number U-36174. 15 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** One thing I want to say, y'all are -- you did put that 16 in there, Brandon. I think before we start giving all these people raises or whatever, 17 they ought to look at all these statistics that talk about how many people have been 18 out for so long and how fast they get back. What do you call them?

19 **MS. BOWMAN:** SAIDI and SAIFI.

SECRETARY FREY: Yeah, the D is duration, the F is frequency. That's the best
way to remember it.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Good old acronyms, right? But anyway, y'all have
a way to find out if these people are doing a good job, an average job, a poor job.

And before we give everybody any money here, we ought to be seeing if those
 acronyms are correct and do they get them back -- get the people back on time.
 And if they do, that's good; if they don't, that's not good, and they ought to have
 to pay for that. We got standards to go by and they ought to be up to snuff. Okay.
 I would make a motion to accept Staff recommendation.

6 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Second.

7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Second by Mr. Skrmetta. No objection? Is there
8 any objections? [NONE HEARD] No objections. Item passes.

9 **MS. BOWMAN:** Exhibit Number 12 is Docket Number U-36612. This is Pierre 10 Part Natural Gas Company's request for extension of its rate stabilization plan. It's 11 a discussion and possible vote on an uncontested stipulated settlement. On 12 November 23, 2022, Pierre Part filed its request for its extension, which was 13 published in the Commission's Official Bulletin and no interventions were 14 received. Following discovery, Staff filed its direct testimony and report on June 15 5, 2023. And on July 14, 2023, Pierre Part filed rebuttal testimony. After 16 negotiations, the company and Staff reached an agreement, and executed an 17 uncontested stipulated settlement, which was filed into the record on August 7, 18 2023. The major terms of the settlement is that Pierre Part is authorized to extend 19 its rate stabilization plan for an additional three years using a hypothetical capital 20 structure and a bandwidth of 9.5 to 10.5 percent, with a midpoint reset. As there 21 are no unresolved issues, Staff recommends that the Commission approve the 22 uncontested stipulated settlement filed into the record on August 7, 2023.

23 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move to approve Staff recommendation.

1 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** I'll second.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's a motion by Mr. Skrmetta, second by Mr.
Lewis. Any objections? [NONE HEARD] No objections, item passes. What's
the next one? Thirteen?

MS. BOWMAN: Exhibit Number 13 is Docket Number U-36626. This is Town 5 6 & Country's Service Company's application for adjustment in retail rates. It's a 7 discussion and possible vote on an uncontested stipulated settlement. On December 8 19, 2022, Town & Country filed its application with the Commission, which was 9 published in the Official Bulletin, and no interventions were received. Following 10 discovery, Staff filed its testimony and report and recommendation on June 28, 11 2023, and on July 25, 2023, Town & Country filed correspondence into the record 12 indicating the acceptance of Staff's report and recommendation. Thereafter, an 13 uncontested stipulated settlement was executed and filed into the record on August 14 23, 2023, which authorized the company to receive a revenue increase of 15 \$216,929.17 annually. As there are no unresolved issues, Staff recommends that 16 the Commission approve the uncontested stipulated settlement filed into the record 17 on August 23, 2023.

18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I make a motion that we accept -- approve Staff 19 recommendation.

20 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Second.

21 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Second by Mr. Skrmetta. Is there any objections?
22 [NONE HEARD] There's none. Passes.

1 **MS. BOWMAN:** Exhibit Number 14 is Docket Number U-36808. This is 2 Washington-St. Tammany's Electric Cooperative's formula rate plan annual report 3 for the 2022 test year. It's a discussion and possible vote on amended joint report 4 and draft order. On May 15, 2023, Washington-St. Tammany filed its formula rate 5 plan annual report for the 2022 test year with the Commission, which was published 6 in the Official Bulletin and no interventions were received. Pursuant to Order 7 Number U-36399, Washington-St. Tammany's formula rate plan allows the 8 company to earn a times interest earned ratio bandwidth of 1.4 to 1.6, and should 9 that TIER fall above or below the bandwidth, WST is permitted to reset its rates to 10 the midpoint of 1.5. The order further provides for a secondary revenue check, 11 which includes an operating time interest earned of 1.2 and a minimum modified 12 debt service coverage ratio of 1.4. In the filing, the company reported a TIER of 13 0.90, which would have required a rate increase and resulted in an upward 14 adjustment in the revenue requirement of approximately \$2.5 million. Staff 15 reviewed the filing and confirmed that the company earned a TIER of 0.90, and as 16 WST's earned TIER fell below the established bandwidth, Staff applied the second 17 revenue checks to adjust WST's OTIER to 1.2 and the modified debt service 18 coverage ratio to 1.4. And after those adjustments, Staff found that WST was 19 authorized, in accordance with its formula rate plan, to adjust its rates to generate a 20 revenue increase of \$2,503,369. WST filed notice that the company accepted 21 Staff's report and recommendation, subject to the corrections to two typographical 22 errors. And upon the parties' agreement, there was an amended joint report and 23 draft order filed into the record on September 6, 2023. As there are no unresolved

- 1 issues, Staff requests that the Commission accept the amended joint report filed into
- 2 the record on September 6, 2023.
- 3 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: I just have a few questions, if someone from
- 4 Washington-St. Tammany could come up.
- 5 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** And I'd like to put a motion on the floor to
- 6 accept Staff recommendation.
- 7 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I'll go ahead and second that.
- 8 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's a motion by Mr. Skrmetta, a second by Mr.
- 9 Francis.
- 10 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Mr. Chairman.
- 11 MS. KANTROW KANTROW: Hi. Kara Kantrow on behalf of WST, and I have
- 12 with me Dane Hocott, the GM of WST.
- 13 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Who is he?
- 14 **MS. KANTROW:** The GM, general manager.
- 15 **MR. DANE HOCOTT:** General manager.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. Good.
- 17 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** And these are just some clarifying questions from
- 18 my understanding. Can you tell me why the interest expenses were so much higher
- 19 for these repair?
- 20 **MR. HOCOTT:** Interest rates?
- 21 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Yes.

MR. HOCOTT: So interest, due to inflation and the rising cost of interest rates at
 the national level, our interest went from two percent up to about seven percent, so
 it's a national issue.

4 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: And I guess, just so I -- just from my understanding,
5 does -- how does the future reimbursement from FEMA affect rate increases
6 associated with the higher interest. Is that included at all or is that --

7 **MR. HOCOTT**: It's not at this time. So if we were to receive any reimbursement 8 from FEMA, that interest is already paid, so we would have to -- if it were 9 reimbursed from FEMA, which I'm not aware that it has ever been reimbursed from 10 FEMA, there's talk that it may be. If it were, then that would go back into the 11 formula rate plan.

- 12 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Perfect. Great. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Your interest -- I didn't hear him -- your answer
 14 there. The answer he gave you. How much has your interest gone up? From what
 15 to what?
- 16 **MR. HOCOTT:** It went from two to seven percent.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Two to seven?
- 18 MR. HOCOTT: Yes, sir.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I don't think -- since I've been here, I've never seen
- 20 it jump like that. That's a lot.
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: It is. Over what period of time? When did that
- 22 rate start going up?

MR. HOCOTT: That was within a year. So it's due to the funds that were borrowed to restore power during Ida, so it's a short-term investment. Short-term loans that have a variable rate, so it goes up very quickly. And as soon as we receive the money from FEMA, then we'd be able to pay those off.

5 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. Anybody have any questions? There's a
6 motion -- is there a motion? Or I'll make a motion.

7 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** There's a motion and a second already.

8 **MS. BOWMAN:** There is. There's a motion by Commissioner -- and a second.

9 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's already a second? Okay. Is there 10 objections? [NONE HEARD] If there's no objections, item passed. Number 11 sixteen. Fifteen, right?

12 MS. BOWMAN: Thank you. Exhibit Number 15 is reports, resolutions, 13 discussions, and votes. There is a report from Staff on RDOF funding status and 14 that's just going to be a quick update by myself. Of the thirteen companies that the 15 Commission granted ETC designation to for RDOF purposes, nine have filed the 16 requisite quarterly report. Two of the companies that are non-compliant filing the 17 quarterly report have still not received FCC funding, so that's why they haven't 18 filed anything with us. Of the nine that did file their reports, four have started 19 deploying fiber into certain areas, within their awarded census blocks, ranging from 20 about 10 to 45 percent complete. Some of those locations are already being served, 21 and approximately 1,800 subscribers to date across those four companies. So we're 22 slowly getting there. I think it's -- I think part of the problem that I, my personal 23 opinion is, the RDOF funding comes in monthly distributions for the next 10 years.

So depending on the amount of funds that the company is receiving, they could be
 getting a small amount per month, and that could, you know, slow down the process
 of deploying it out.

COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Is the -- I mean, is the RDOF set up to where
it's basically a payment towards a -- like a loan guarantee, that they go borrow the
money and they allocate the RDOF to pay the loan? I mean, how do they set it up?
MS. BOWMAN: That's a good question that I can get back to you on the specifics
on how that is, but I can certainly look into the specifics of each of the applications.
COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: I can't imagine the RDOF payment is a good
way to run it. I would imagine it they'd be better off borrowing it --

11 **MS. BOWMAN:** Having it and then -- yeah.

12 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** -- and [INAUDIBLE] to, you know, a set 13 payment towards their debt, so. But anyway, if you could find out, that'd be great. 14 **MS. BOWMAN:** Yes, sir. Also on Exhibit Number 15, there is a resolution. It's 15 a resolution showing support for state legislation in the 2024 session to support a 16 tax credit for the purchase of electric generator systems to ensure services to the 17 communities of state during power outages. We do have a yellow card. Would 18 y'all like to hear from Ms. Hansen first, or would you like me to read the resolution 19 into the record?

20 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Read it in.

MS. BOWMAN: Read it in first? One second. So the proposed resolution is as follows: The Louisiana Public Service Commission regulates, pursuant to its Constitutional authority, the rates, terms, and conditions of service of both investor-

1 owned and not-for-profit electric utilities providing service to industrial, 2 residential, and commercial customers within Louisiana. Whereas the electric 3 utilities under the Commission's jurisdiction provide service in areas of Louisiana 4 that frequently experience extreme weather conditions, including hurricanes, 5 tornadoes, and floods. And whereas the Commission and the electric utilities are unfortunately experienced in the impacts these extreme weather conditions have on 6 7 the generation, transmission, and distribution systems of said electric utilities. And whereas given the impact that these events have on residence and businesses 8 9 seeking to repair and restore after such events, power outages not only delay these 10 efforts, but also hinders Louisiana citizens from receiving vital goods and services. 11 And whereas while Louisianans are familiar with electric generator systems in 12 times of power outages, not all are able to afford such systems. And whereas having 13 more businesses with electric generator systems would allow not only those 14 businesses to have power, but also be available to provide vital goods and services 15 to the surrounding community while the overall electric service to the area is being 16 restored. Whereas uninterrupted access to goods and services during an outage, 17 especially outages lasting more than a few hours, can mean the different between 18 survival and not. Whereas the Commission recognizes the social benefit that can 19 come from local businesses having electric generator systems in place. And 20 whereas the Commission recognizes the resiliency that comes from local businesses 21 having electric generated systems in place. And whereas the Commission has been 22 working with and will continue to work to ensure that the electric utilities under its 23 jurisdiction provide safe, reliable service to Louisiana citizens at the lowest

1 reasonable cost. And whereas the Commission continues these efforts by 2 supporting local businesses to secure electric generator systems that would provide 3 social benefit and resiliency after extreme weather events by ensuring services to 4 the communities are met during power outages. Now therefore be it resolved that 5 the Louisiana Public Service Commission supports, urges, and requests that the 6 Louisiana Legislature during the upcoming 2024 Legislative Session consider 7 passing a tax credit up to \$10,000 for the purchase of an electric generator system 8 for those businesses that provide vital goods and services such as food stores, 9 pharmacies, and fuel stations.

10 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** I ask for support for the resolution. We have 11 legislators who are requesting support for this -- from us for this, to bring in the 12 2024 session. These facilities would continue to act in commerce during outages 13 and it would help with an offset of a fiscal note and justify the tax credit, so this 14 would be a step forward in helping to keep community active, particularly at times 15 when there are no evacuations and folks need some services. We had a lot of 16 stranded gasoline in the ground in Jefferson Parish and Orleans Parish during Ida, 17 and unfortunately, we had a homicide take place at one of the gas stations because 18 of stranded assets in the ground. So I think it's a good thing for us to move forward 19 and help the communities become more resilient through these type of actions, so 20 I'd appreciate the support for this.

21 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Commissioner, could I ask a technical question for 22 you?

23 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yeah. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: The resolution says for the 2024 session, but the
legislature, is my knowledge, it would be in a regular session, so they would not be
eligible to pass a tax credit in 2024; so should we encourage the 2025 session which
would be a fiscal session that would allow tax credits?

5 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: I was told by one of the legislators to do it this
6 way, but -- so I would just go with it this way. They can modify it however they
7 want.

8 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Yes, I know. I just wanted to --

9 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Right. Yeah. I had this discussion with one
10 of them and they said to do it this way, so I would just go ahead and hand it to them
11 the way they asked.

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Yeah. So I just wanted to state that just for the
Commission --

14 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: No, I understand they have fiscal versus
15 regular legal, so I'm with you, but this is something the way they wanted it, so.

16 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Absolutely. Thank you. And some other 17 comments. While I appreciate their sentiment, I think some of my friends across 18 the legislature know how much of a fiscal hawk I am about our tax exemption 19 budget, which is growing astronomically and not investing significantly into our 20 resources. So while I am all for keeping vital services, I will kind of keep the same 21 sentiment that I have across the street at the capital in opposing tax credits, 22 particularly for businesses, just because the state of Louisiana is giving countless 23 dollars away. And I wanted to echo that my sentiments agree with the resolution,

but the technical aspects of it, I just have to stay consistent as an advocate about not
 using tax credits as our ultimate solution. So I appreciate my colleagues' intent,
 but I just wanted to say why I would have to be opposed to this resolution.

4 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Well, and please take note that these 5 particular facilities will continue to collect sales tax as a part of them being open 6 during disaster and that will help offset the credit, so I hope you understand that 7 component of achieving a fiscal note towards zero and their participation of keeping 8 the communities --

9 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Absolutely, but I think that would also have 10 significant issues with sales tax, which are very aggressive and tax the poor in this 11 state a lot higher. If you are below \$17,000, your contribution in sales, state, and 12 personal income tax is around 12 percent; if you are someone making over 13 \$500,000 in this state, your contribution of sales tax, personal tax, and property tax 14 is about 6.2 percent. And so part of my day job is fighting how sales tax is very 15 regressive and hurts our poor, so that is part of why I -- while I appreciate that, it 16 also is something that has deep concerns of mine.

17 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** I appreciate it. I'm okay with Louisiana being

18 a tax-free state, so I'm with you on that. Okay. Well, thank you, though.

19 **MS. BOWMAN:** So, if there's opposition, we need a roll call vote.

20 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Roll call vote.

21 MS. BOWMAN: And I'm sorry. Erin, please come up and speak.

22 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Sure.

1 MS. HANSEN: Thank you, Commissioners. Again, Erin Hansen, Together 2 Louisiana. Some of the most energy that we have seen around the state in building 3 resiliency in communities is around non-profit institutions. Community 4 organizations like churches, like union halls, like schools that can serve as centers 5 where people can come during an outage and receive some essential services like 6 food and water and ice. We talked about that a little bit around the SWEPCO AMI 7 deal. And so definitely support the concept of this. I would be interested in working 8 with the Commission or working with a legislator who's willing to take this up in 9 developing rules that also allow for non-profit organizations, which don't have a 10 tax liability, can't access a tax credit, in building out these systems at their facilities 11 so that they can provide other types of services. You know, we need Home Depot, 12 we need Walgreens, we need also places where people can go for free and pick up 13 a portable battery and get some food and water and things like that, so.

14 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** I'll be glad to engage with some of the 15 legislators to say while we're doing this, we'd like them to try and figure out other 16 ways to help out on the non-profit and community assistance world.

17 **MS. HANSEN:** Great. Thanks.

18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Any further questions? There's been a motion and19 a second.

- 20 MS. BOWMAN: No, it's --
- 21 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Actually, we have to have a roll call vote.
- 22 Commissioner Lewis, you're opposing this?
- 23 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Yes.

- 1 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. I didn't realize that. Okay. There's a motion,
- 2 a second, an objection. We need a roll call vote. Is that correct?
- 3 MS. BOWMAN: Well, it's -- there was no motion and second, it was just a
- 4 resolution. But because Commissioner Lewis objected, there does need to be a roll
- 5 call vote.
- 6 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay.
- 7 MS. BOWMAN: So Commissioner Lewis?
- 8 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** No.
- 9 MS. BOWMAN: Commissioner Francis?
- 10 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yes.
- 11 MS. BOWMAN: Commissioner Greene?
- 12 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Yes.
- 13 MS. BOWMAN: Commissioner Skrmetta?
- 14 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yes.
- 15 MS. BOWMAN: Chairman Campbell?
- 16 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yes.

MS. BOWMAN: Passes. So we are still on Exhibit Number 15. There is a vote ratification. It's a discussion and possible vote to ratify a vote taken by Commissioner Greene via proxy acting as the Commission's representative on the Board of Directors of the Organization of MISO States. The matter is on the agenda for ratification of the vote taken on August 14, 2023. Staff followed the protocols set forth in Special Order 17-2019 to consult with the Executive Secretary and the Chairman and to make a recommendation to the Commissioner Greene prior to the

1 vote. The protocols also require that the vote taken be ratified at a following B&E. 2 OMS prepared a letter to MISO CEO John Bear endorsing the MISO Reliability 3 Based Demand Curve, the design of that curve, and the MISO opt-out of the curve. 4 Based on Staff's recommendation, the Commission voted no to that letter. MISO 5 has not demonstrated that the Reliability Based Demand Curve is needed to ensure 6 reliability and it has not been adequately explained or supported, and the MISO 7 opt-out exposes entities that choose to opt-out to the same risks as auction 8 participants plus potential penalties. The Staff recommends that the Commission 9 ratify Commissioner Greene's vote taken via proxy on August 14, 2023.

10 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Move to ratify the vote of Commissioner
 11 Greene.

- 12 COMMISSIONER GREENE: I abstain.
- 13 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Second.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's been a motion and a second. Anybody15 object?
- 16 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I've got a question.
- 17 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** You've got a question?
- 18 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Francis.
- 20 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Who carried the proxy for Mr. Greene, Mr.
- 21 Greene?
- 22 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Noel.
- 23 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Noel Darce?

- 1 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Yeah.
- 2 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: What's that.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Noel Darce.
- 4 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Okay.
- 5 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** I don't think you need to come up, Noel.
- 6 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: You want to come up? You want to talk7 [INAUDIBLE]?
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: No. I was just wondering who was the --
- 9 **MS. BOWMAN:** I think we're good.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Anyway. There's a motion and a second. No
 11 objection? [NONE HEARD] Passed.
- 12 MS. BOWMAN: So also under Exhibit Number 15 is a directive. It's the directive
- 13 to jurisdictional utilities regarding foreign relationships. It was at the request of
- 14 Commissioner Skrmetta and it was on last month's Exhibit 15. I can read the
- 15 directive again, if need be.
- 16 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** I would like to have it read in the record again.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I'd like for you to read it.

MS. BOWMAN: Okay. There are reasonable concerns regarding security risk posed by foreign manufactured components included in all energy devices, including renewable energy devices that can affect the control of distributable power. These devices can be a risk to our national security. The true danger can lay in the internet-based aspect of devices, which make it an element of the global cyberspace, clearly making aspects prone to hacking, security breaches, and the

1 ability to be completely sabotaged at the discretion of unfriendly governments. 2 These actions will restrain and reduce our vulnerabilities. Therefore, I direct the 3 jurisdictional electric utilities to cease purchasing and including any foreign 4 produced devices in electric utilities from specified jurisdictions. Specifically, no 5 equipment or device of any kind manufactured in the People's Republic of China, 6 Democratic People's Republic of Korea, the Russian Federation, or the Islamic 7 Republic of Iran shall be purchased, included, or utilized by any electric utility, 8 whether investor owned or member cooperative. This directive is effective 9 immediately upon Commission approval. Further, I direct all jurisdictional utilities 10 to immediately cease contracting on issues related to IT or CIT services of any kind, 11 including through direct contractors or sub-contractors, with any company or 12 governmental agency originating within the People's Republic of China, 13 Democratic People's Republic of Korea, the Russian Federation, or the Islamic 14 Republic of Iran.

15 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Yes. I have a quick comment. You know, the --16 and Commissioner Skrmetta and I had a sidebar. Apparently, this was emailed out 17 prior to last meeting, but the first I had seen of it was last meeting, and I just had 18 some questions and clarifications. I think it's a good idea. I kind of wanted to 19 make it a little more specific, but with his version, I think we'll start with that, and 20 if we have to make it more specific along the way, we can. Is that right?

21 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** That's fine with me.

22 COMMISSIONER GREENE: But I think it's a good idea and thanks for the
23 extra month.

- 1 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Anybody else have any questions?
- 2 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Yes.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Lewis.

4 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Yes, I think this is an important topic and I believe 5 we have a cybersecurity docket and I think for something of this magnitude, we 6 should engage stakeholders, take comments, and develop a very clear rule. So 7 while I have no objections to us making our systems more secure from adversarial governments, I do not like this process. While we, as Commissioners, have seen 8 9 this language, one of the concerns that I heard from countless of my constituents 10 were this language was not published anywhere. So the constituents, stakeholder 11 participants, companies have not been able to see the language, and I think it's an 12 appropriate tool for a rule or decision of this magnitude to go through a directive 13 process that opens up a docket or puts it in a docket so that we can get all the 14 feedback, talk to expert testimony, hear facts and evidence to make sure that what 15 we pass is actually applicable and works well. And so while I -- my reservations 16 that I had last meeting still hold, I will have to object to this directive.

17 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** I appreciate that. I guess, at this point, I'd like

- 18 to call a vote on it.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: You object to his --
- 20 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yeah. I object and I'd like to call a vote.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay.
- 22 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** What are we voting --
- 23 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** On my directive.

- 1 **MS. BOWMAN:** So --
- 2 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** He's objecting.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I know what he's objecting to.
- 4 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** No, I'm talking to Kathryn.
- 5 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: He's trying to add to your resolution. That's what
- 6 you're trying to do?

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: No, no, no. I was just stating that I believe that this
directive is better suited in a docket, but since that's not the avenue that's before
us, I will object to the directive as written.

10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Why don't we put it in a docket? You object to that?
11 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Yes.

12 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Well, it's really broad, really broad, which is good 13 and specific, but I need some more expertise in this. I don't feel like just telling --14 you're making foreign decisions, Department of Energy and everybody else, 15 Department of Defense and all that. I don't feel -- I mean, the feel-good deal is 16 yeah, but I'd like to know what we're doing, exactly what we're doing, and does it 17 work with what we're trying to do as a country as a whole. This is not the Public 18 Service Commission, we're dealing Department of Defense here, we're talking 19 about who we can trade with, who we can't trade with, and so I would -- Mr. Lewis, 20 would you be willing to offer something to put it in a -- open up a docket on it?

21 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** I would be more than happy to put it into the 22 cybersecurity docket, but I believe as it stands, Commissioner Skrmetta has offered

1 the directive and has stated that he would not support doing that right now, so I

2 think we would have to dispense of the motion.

3 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Well, that's not exactly what I'm saying.

4 What I'm saying is I would like a vote on the directive as it's placed, and if you

5 want to do something else, you can do whatever else you want to do.

6 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Exactly. That's what I was stating, that --

7 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Yeah.

8 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: -- the current process would be to take up your
9 directive and then --

10 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** And to be clear, we've done the same type of 11 action against Huawei technology in our communications. Anything we regulate 12 under the communications of the Commission some time ago. We banned Huawei 13 technology from our communication systems. So we have done this before. So I 14 wouldn't like us to think that we have not done this before. And so I appreciate 15 whatever you want to do, Commissioner Lewis. If you want to take a month and 16 write it out and submit it for a docket, then we can do it in October, no problem. 17 But for the purposes of what we're doing here, I think my directive is solid and I 18 think we do have the authority and I think we should do it and I'd like to call a vote 19 on it.

20 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. So we need a vote, right?

21 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** It does seem like these aren't mutually exclusive,

22 though. We could pass this and put it into the docket, right?

23 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yes. Yep. We can do both.

- 1 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Davante?
- 2 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Yeah, I just -- I think this directive -- I still have
- 3 problems with this directive as a whole.
- 4 COMMISSIONER GREENE: Yeah, yeah.
- 5 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** They're two different things.
- 6 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** No, I understand.
- 7 COMMISSIONER GREENE: What would be the mechanism to after -- if this
- 8 passes, to also add it into the cybersecurity docket?
- 9 MS. BOWMAN: It could be a directive from any Commissioner next month to
- 10 include it into the cybersecurity docket that we do have open at the moment.
- 11 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Well, I say we vote on this now, but then we'll
- 12 come up with language to put it into the cybersecurity docket.
- COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: You can just write a new directive with
 specifics of what he's looking to do.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That seems like a good compromise. Pass this,
 16 come up with a directive, put it in a cybersecurity docket, and then that gives
- 17 everybody cover.
- 18 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** But I -- oh, I'm sorry, Kathryn.
- 19 MS. BOWMAN: I mean, technically you could make that separate directive today
- 20 to put it into the cybersecurity docket, it's just taken up out of order, which means
- 21 it would have to be a unanimous vote by the Commissioners to add it.
- 22 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** It's two different [INAUDIBLE].
- 23 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Yeah, no.

- 1 **MS. BOWMAN:** Yes, it's two separate votes.
- 2 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: But just to give him the time to be very
- 3 specific about what he'd like, that if he wants to wait a month, he can; if he wants
- 4 to do it today, that's fine. I just want to have a vote on my directive, as is.
- 5 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. There's been a motion.
- 6 **MS. BOWMAN:** Well, it's just an objection to a directive.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There was an objection.
- 8 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** So then we have to vote on it.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So we've got to vote on it. Okay.
- 10 MS. BOWMAN: Yeah. So Commissioner Lewis, how do you vote on --
- 11 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: No.
- 12 MS. BOWMAN: -- Commissioner Skrmetta's directive? No. Commissioner
- 13 Francis?
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yes.
- 15 **MS. BOWMAN:** Commissioner Greene?
- 16 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Yes.
- 17 MS. BOWMAN: Commissioner Skrmetta?
- 18 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yes.
- 19 MS. BOWMAN: Chairman Campbell?
- 20 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yes. Now, you want to make a motion or you want
- a directive -- on the directive to put it into the docket? You got the votes.
- 22 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Yes. I'm trying to --
- 23 MS. BOWMAN: I was going to say, you can make a generic directive now --

1 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Yeah, no, no. As I was stating, part of my objection 2 was not the concept, I wanted to spend more time on the concept, but it was that 3 the directive directly did not define components. There was a lot of terms that were 4 used in the directive that we just voted on that I felt was better answered to define 5 in a docket, so I'm not sure my concerns now matter, since the directive has already 6 passed, to put it into a docket. So I have nothing further for this meeting at this 7 point.

- 8 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay, okay.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Just give it some thought over the next few
 10 days, and if you want to create a docket or you can [INAUDIBLE].
- 11 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** What's next? Number 17?
- 12 MS. BOWMAN: Exhibit 16.
- 13 SECRETARY FREY: Sixteen.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Sixteen? Good.

15 **MS. BOWMAN:** Which is Docket Number U-35807. It's the application of Cleco 16 for recovery in rates of certain storm damage costs incurred as a result of Hurricanes 17 Laura, Delta, and Zeta and Winter Storms Uri and Viola, related securitization 18 financing and establishment of a storm reserve. It's a discussion and possible vote 19 on an uncontested proposed stipulated settlement, at the request of Commissioner 20 Skrmetta. On September 4, 2020, Cleco filed its application seeking interim 21 recovery of storm damage, which was granted in Order Number U-35807. On 22 August 5, 2021, Cleco filed its application seeking permanent recovery of the costs 23 associated with the hurricanes as well as Winter Storm Uri and Viola and sought

1 approval for securitization financing of those costs. On September 28, 2021, Cleco 2 filed a supplemental application requesting the Commission's authorization for a 3 new storm reserve of \$100 million associated with costs incurred due to Hurricane 4 Ida. Cleco's request was authorized in Order Numbers U-35807-A and U-35807-5 B, both dated April 1, 2022. As a result of that securitization, Louisiana ratepayers 6 saved approximately \$279 million compared to what the costs would have been had 7 traditional utility financing been utilized. While the securitization financing was 8 authorized, the Commission had not yet undertaken an analysis of the prudence of 9 the Hurricane Ida cost. Therefore, 10 percent of the Hurricane Ida securitization 10 was retained in an escrow pending Commission order addressing the prudence of 11 Hurricane Ida cost. Staff performed that prudence analysis and on August 31, 2023, 12 Cleco and Staff filed a joint motion scheduling a hearing for uncontested proposed 13 stipulated settlement with an uncontested proposed stipulated settlement attached, 14 which was either supported or not opposed by all the intervenors. The settlement 15 concluded that Cleco spent approximately \$90 million in prudently-incurred costs 16 to address the damage caused by Hurricane Ida, which is below the original 17 estimate. Therefore, the settlement recommends that Cleco Power is permitted to 18 withdraw \$10,310,376 from the Hurricane Ida reserve and that the remaining 19 \$5,062,240 is transferred to Cleco Power's permanent storm damage reserve for use 20 and benefit of ratepayers when future storm damages are incurred in Cleco's service 21 territory, as required by Order U-35807-A. Staff recommends that the Commission 22 accept the uncontested proposed stipulated settlement filed into the record on 23 August 31, 2023.

- 1 **CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL:** Is there a motion?
- 2 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: I move to accept, but I do want to have a
- 3 clarification from the company.
- 4 **MS. BOWMAN:** Okay. Is Cleco in the audience.
- 5 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** This is Concordia or Cleco?
- 6 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Cleco.
- 7 **MS. BOWMAN:** This is Cleco.
- 8 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: I'm sorry. No clarification needed. I'm
- 9 thinking of the next one. Sorry.
- 10 **MS. BOWMAN:** Oh. Okay. So there's a motion by Commissioner Skrmetta.
- 11 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** I'll still move to accept.
- 12 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** I'll second.
- 13 MS. BOWMAN: Second by Commissioner Lewis.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's a motion by Mr. Skrmetta, second by Mr.
- 15 Lewis. Is there any objection? [NONE HEARD] Hearing no objections, item is
- 16 passed. Number 17.

MS. BOWMAN: Exhibit Number 17 is Docket Number U-36809. This is Concordia Electric Cooperative's formula rate plan annual report for the 2022 test year, and its at the request of Vice Chairman Francis. On April 18, 2023, Concordia filed its annual FRP report for the test year ending December 31st. Notice was published in the Bulletin and no interventions were received. On May 23, 2023, Concordia filed an amended 2022 annual report, which indicated that the auditors made changes to the cooperative's 2022 financial records, which resulted in a

1 change to that annual report. Staff evaluated the report and filed its report and 2 recommendation on July 2, 2023, wherein Staff found that the company's proposed 3 adjustments were complete, accurate, and appropriately reflected the provisions of 4 the formula rate plan, with the exception of one additional adjustment, which 5 resulted in an increase of revenue in the amount of \$1,779,567. Additionally, Staff 6 raised a significant concern as it relates to whether or not the existing formula rate 7 plan is working as intended. The FRP is designed with a shortened review period, 8 which is intended to allow recovery of agreed upon operating expenses as well as 9 agreed upon adjustments to those operating expenses, and as such, part of Staff's 10 recommendation included a requirement that the company file a full base rate 11 review of no later than June 1, 2024, utilizing a 2023 test year. On August 4, 2023, 12 the company filed its agreements with Staff's recommendations and upon the 13 party's agreements, they filed a joint report and draft order into the record on 14 September 15, 2023. Staff recommends that the Commission accept that joint 15 report and issue the draft order filed into the record on September 15, 2023.

16 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Concordia Rural Electric, I represent most of it. Mr. 17 Francis represents a good portion of it. They're a good company, no complaints. 18 The problem they have up there is 8,000 people in the whole cooperative and it 19 goes over a long part of the landscape in North Louisiana. Some of their lines, they 20 go down in places where there's two people every three or four miles or two miles, 21 so this is a big -- this is a rate increase that I support, but I want to make sure that 22 they're going from one of the cheapest to one of the highest, it's not something I 23 take lightly. I'm watching, but they do need this rate increase. And I can say the

good thing about Concordia is I never have complaints about -- they keep their
lights on people, they work with people when sometimes the people have a problem
paying their bills. I'm able to work with them on that, so I am for this. I make a
motion that we accept Staff recommendation.

- 5 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: I'll second it. And I do have a question for
 6 Concordia, if they've got a minute.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: You've got a question. Mr. Purvis. Okay.
- 8 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Thanks.
- 9 **SECRETARY FREY:** Just make sure you hit the button on the mic.
- 10 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Okay. You'll have to hit the button on when
- 11 you talk. I had a good meeting with you guys --
- 12 **MS. EVANS:** Commissioner, your microphone.

13 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** I'm sorry. I had a good meeting with you 14 guys in my office about this issue and we talked about this because you needed to 15 hire additional personnel that you weren't able to get. And I'm for this, but I wanted 16 to clarify because we discussed that after you acquire future money, that you'll be 17 able to potentially reduce this amount, and I wanted you to clarify that for the 18 Commission that this is an interim rate increase and that we'll be able to look at a 19 reduction somewhere down the road.

20 **MR. DWAYNE BAILEY:** Yes, sir. Dwayne Bailey with Concordia Electric. We 21 had talked about the AMI system, and once we get it implemented and we -- I 22 personally think that we're losing our kWh through the metering system we have

- 1 right now, and once we get the new one in place and get it set up, we are more than
- 2 willing to come back before the Commission and get a reduction on rates.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Okay. So effectively once your AMI systems
- 4 are installed, because I know you're delayed on that.
- 5 MR. BAILEY: Yes.
- 6 COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: So once that's done and you'll be able to come
 7 back in after that, meet with Staff and look at how you can maybe get your rates
 8 reduced a bit?
- 9 MR. BAILEY: Yes, sir.
- 10 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Okay. Great. That's all I needed to know.

11 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: You know, this is not just for your co-ops, but I want 12 to remind all the co-ops in here, I was the one who voted with you guys, five co-13 ops. You know your promise now, your promise was rates were going down, rates 14 were going down. Now, if they go up, up, up, up, up, and then they go down, you 15 hadn't done a lot. So don't raise them and raise them and raise them, and then you 16 say, hey, well, we're going to take a 20 percent reduction. Then you're going to be 17 exactly where you were. So let's be honest with everybody. You're shooting for 18 20 percent reduction, that was the whole story of letting you get your own power, 19 which I'm glad, now. You got a bunch of bids. And the only people who were 20 really against you were the investor owned companies that wanted to sell you 21 electricity. I got it. But if you went out in the market and you tested the market, 22 that's good, and you found cheaper sources of electricity, that's good. Let's just 23 make damn sure that we don't keep running these rates up and then tell me, hey,

we're going to have a 20 percent reduction and you're going to be exactly where
you were. And I represent you and I represent Claiborne and I mean, I'm aware of
all that. But we all made a deal, you know. We shook hands. So a deal is a deal.

4 MR. BAILEY: Well, you're going to be here for a while and we're going to be
5 here for a while and we'll have to face you, and we want --

- 6 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: All right. Thank you. I made a motion, it was
 7 seconded. No objections? [NONE HEARD] It passed. Thank you.
- 8 **MS. BOWMAN:** So we've already done Exhibit 18. So moving on to Exhibit 19.
- 9 This is a possible executive session, but I ask, let me read everything into the record
- 10 and have a motion on the floor before everyone exits the room. It is FERC Docket
- 11 Numbers EL18-152 and ER23-2821. This is the Commission versus System
- 12 Energy Resources and Entergy Services, Inc. It's a possible executive session to
- 13 discuss litigation strategy pursuant to Louisiana Revised Statute 42:16, et seq., at
- 14 the request of Commissioner Greene. And I will entertain a motion to enter --
- 15 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move to go into executive session.
- 16 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Second.

17 MS. BOWMAN: Second by Commissioner Lewis. So we are now -- if everyone

- 18 could exit the room quickly, please.
- 19 [OFF THE RECORD]
- 20 [BACK ON THE RECORD]

21 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move to come out of executive session.

22 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Second.

- 1 MS. BOWMAN: So we are out of executive session, and we do have something
- 2 to take out of order, but I need a motion to take that item up.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** I have a motion to take up out of order and I'd
- 4 like Kathryn to read it for me.
- 5 **MS. BOWMAN:** We need a second to take it up out of order.
- 6 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Second from Greene.
- 7 MS. BOWMAN: Any objections to taking something out of order? [NONE
- 8 HEARD] No objections. The motion is as follows: I move to authorize counsel
- 9 to amend the complaint the Commission filed in FERC Docket Number EL21-56
- 10 to broaden the time period covered and the basis for the complaint to the extent that
- 11 Staff and Special Counsel deems appropriate. That's the motion?
- 12 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** That's it.
- 13 **MS. BOWMAN:** Entertain a second.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: No objections.
- 15 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Second.
- 16 **MS. BOWMAN:** Seconded by Lewis. Any objections? [NONE HEARD]
- 17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: It's passed.
- 18 **MS. BOWMAN:** And a motion to adjourn?
- 19 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move to adjourn.
- 20 **MS. BOWMAN:** A second to adjourn?
- 21 **COMMISSIONER LEWIS:** Second.
- 22 **MS. BOWMAN:** Thank you.
- 23 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Thank you guys.

1	
2	(WHEREUPON THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED)
3	

LPSC B&E Open Session September 20, 2023 Baton Rouge, LA

1 I certify that the forgoing pages 1 through 123 are true and correct to the best of my knowledge of the Open Session of the Business and Executive Meeting 2 held on September 20, 2023 in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. 3 ****** 4 5 **Rough Draft prepared by:** 6 **October 3, 2023** 7 Kayla Fiorenza, Date 8 **Court Reporter** 9 October 3, 2023 10 Kathy Dykes, Date **Court Reporter** 11 arish 12 October 3, 2023 Clarisa Findley, 13 Date 14 **Court Reporter** 15 16 **Proofed by:** larish 17 October 3, 2023 **Clarisa Findley**, 18 Date 19 **Court Reporter** 20 **Finalized by:** 21 men October 3, 2023 Kayla Fiorenza, 22 Date

23

Court Reporter